Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in .Example

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - | - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - | 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 | - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 | 6 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Ret | urn for 1993 - 1999. | | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| \$ <u>59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and | d land ("olympic" ave | rage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable | to land only (class III |) /4/ | | \$21.29 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable | to trees only (3a - 3 | b) | | (\$21.29) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0039</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple | Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other | r" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capital | ization Rate | | | <u>0.1097</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capita | alization Rate | | | <u>0.1264</u> | | | | |
| 6 Llos Value of Apple Orehor | d and "Other" Oral | and | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$155.21)</u> | <u>\$262.80</u> | <u>(\$134.75)</u> | <u>\$283.27</u> |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$194.02)</u> | <u>\$182.20</u> | <u>(\$168.43)</u> | <u>\$207.78</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$194.02)</u> | <u>\$84.66</u> | <u>(\$168.43)</u> | <u>\$110.24</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$194.02)</u> | <u>\$28.92</u> | <u>(\$168.43)</u> | <u>\$54.51</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$145.51)</u> | <u>\$21.69</u> | <u>(\$126.33)</u> | <u>\$40.88</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$116.41)</u> | <u>\$22.93</u> | <u>(\$101.06)</u> | <u>\$38.28</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$77.61)</u> | <u>\$6.00</u> | <u>(\$67.37)</u> | <u>\$16.23</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$27.87</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$27.87</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Accomack

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - | 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - | 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 | - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 | 6 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Ret | urn for 1993 - 1999. | | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| \$ <u>59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and | d land ("olympic" aver | age of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable | to land only (class III |) /4/ | | \$40.08 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable | to trees only (3a - 3l | o) | | (\$40.08) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0060</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple | Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other | r" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitali | ization Rate | | | <u>0.1118</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capita | alization Rate | | | <u>0.1285</u> | | | | |
| 6 Use Value of Apple Orehor | d and "Other" Orak | and | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$286.71)</u> | <u>\$479.16</u> | <u>(\$249.52)</u> | <u>\$516.35</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$358.38)</u> | <u>\$330.90</u> | <u>(\$311.90)</u> | <u>\$377.38</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$358.38)</u> | <u>\$152.20</u> | <u>(\$311.90)</u> | <u>\$198.68</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$358.38)</u> | <u>\$50.08</u> | <u>(\$311.90)</u> | <u>\$96.57</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$268.79)</u> | <u>\$37.56</u> | <u>(\$233.92)</u> | <u>\$72.42</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$215.03)</u> | <u>\$40.26</u> | <u>(\$187.14)</u> | <u>\$68.15</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$143.35)</u> | <u>\$9.82</u> | <u>(\$124.76)</u> | <u>\$28.41</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$51.06</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$51.06</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Albemarle

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | (| <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | ble to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$7.30 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a - | - 3b) | | (\$7.30) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | . <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0068</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1126</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1293</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER"</u> | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$51.86)</u> | <u>\$86.26</u> | <u>(\$45.18)</u> | <u>\$92.95</u> |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$64.83)</u> | <u>\$59.48</u> | <u>(\$56.47)</u> | <u>\$67.84</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$64.83)</u> | <u>\$27.26</u> | <u>(\$56.47)</u> | <u>\$35.61</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$64.83)</u> | <u>\$8.84</u> | <u>(\$56.47)</u> | <u>\$17.20</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$48.62)</u> | <u>\$6.63</u> | <u>(\$42.35)</u> | <u>\$12.90</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$38.90)</u> | <u>\$7.15</u> | <u>(\$33.88)</u> | <u>\$12.16</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$25.93)</u> | <u>\$1.69</u> | <u>(\$22.59)</u> | <u>\$5.04</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$9.21</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$9.21</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Alleghany

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class I | II) /4/ | | \$19.72 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - 3 | 3b) | | (\$19.72) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0058</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1116</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1283</u> | | | | |
| | 1 I | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$141.31)</u> | <u>\$236.46</u> | <u>(\$122.96)</u> | <u>\$254.82</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$176.64)</u> | <u>\$163.35</u> | <u>(\$153.69)</u> | <u>\$186.30</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$176.64)</u> | <u>\$75.21</u> | <u>(\$153.69)</u> | <u>\$98.15</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$176.64)</u> | <u>\$24.84</u> | <u>(\$153.69)</u> | <u>\$47.78</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$132.48)</u> | <u>\$18.63</u> | <u>(\$115.27)</u> | <u>\$35.84</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$105.99)</u> | <u>\$19.94</u> | <u>(\$92.22)</u> | <u>\$33.71</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$70.66)</u> | <u>\$4.90</u> | <u>(\$61.48)</u> | <u>\$14.08</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$25.18</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$25.18</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Amelia

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 19 | 99. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | ble to land only (class | s III) /4/ | | \$39.13 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a | - 3b) | | (\$39.13) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u>,</u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0048</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | bitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1106</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1273</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$283.04)</u> | <u>\$476.65</u> | <u>(\$245.97)</u> | <u>\$513.73</u> |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$353.80)</u> | <u>\$329.92</u> | <u>(\$307.46)</u> | <u>\$376.26</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$353.80)</u> | <u>\$152.66</u> | <u>(\$307.46)</u> | <u>\$199.00</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$353.80)</u> | <u>\$51.37</u> | <u>(\$307.46)</u> | <u>\$97.71</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$265.35)</u> | <u>\$38.53</u> | <u>(\$230.60)</u> | <u>\$73.28</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$212.28)</u> | <u>\$40.95</u> | <u>(\$184.48)</u> | <u>\$68.75</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$141.52)</u> | <u>\$10.42</u> | <u>(\$122.99)</u> | <u>\$28.95</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$50.65</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$50.65</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Amherst

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | able to land only (class | s III) /4/ | | \$8.73 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a - | - 3b) | | (\$8.73) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | . <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0045</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ople Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1103</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1270</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE O | RCHARD | "OTHER" ORCHARD | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$63.36)</u> | <u>\$106.90</u> | <u>(\$55.04)</u> | <u>\$115.22</u> | |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$79.20)</u> | <u>\$74.04</u> | <u>(\$68.80)</u> | <u>\$84.43</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$79.20)</u> | <u>\$34.31</u> | <u>(\$68.80)</u> | <u>\$44.71</u> | |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$79.20)</u> | <u>\$11.61</u> | <u>(\$68.80)</u> | <u>\$22.00</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$59.40)</u> | <u>\$8.70</u> | <u>(\$51.60)</u> | <u>\$16.50</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$47.52)</u> | <u>\$9.23</u> | <u>(\$41.28)</u> | <u>\$15.47</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$31.68)</u> | <u>\$2.37</u> | <u>(\$27.52)</u> | <u>\$6.53</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$11.35</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$11.35</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Augusta

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 199 | 9. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" av | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | ble to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$34.68 | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$34.68) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0050</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1108</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1275</u> | | | |
| C Has Value of Annia One | hand and "Other" Or | a h a n d | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$250.39)</u> | <u>\$421.09</u> | <u>(\$217.65)</u> | <u>\$453.82</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$312.98)</u> | <u>\$291.34</u> | <u>(\$272.06)</u> | <u>\$332.26</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$312.98)</u> | <u>\$134.66</u> | <u>(\$272.06)</u> | <u>\$175.59</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$312.98)</u> | <u>\$45.14</u> | <u>(\$272.06)</u> | <u>\$86.06</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$234.74)</u> | <u>\$33.85</u> | <u>(\$204.05)</u> | <u>\$64.54</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$187.79)</u> | <u>\$36.03</u> | <u>(\$163.24)</u> | <u>\$60.59</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$125.19)</u> | <u>\$9.10</u> | <u>(\$108.82)</u> | <u>\$25.47</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$44.76</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$44.76</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Bedford

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 19 | 99. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | able to land only (class | s III) /4/ | | \$14.29 | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a | - 3b) | | (\$14.29) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0049</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ople Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1107</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1274</u> | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$103.24)</u> | <u>\$173.70</u> | <u>(\$89.73)</u> | <u>\$187.21</u> |
| П | 1.00 | <u>(\$129.05)</u> | <u>\$120.20</u> | <u>(\$112.16)</u> | <u>\$137.08</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$129.05)</u> | <u>\$55.58</u> | <u>(\$112.16)</u> | <u>\$72.46</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$129.05)</u> | <u>\$18.66</u> | <u>(\$112.16)</u> | <u>\$35.54</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$96.78)</u> | <u>\$13.99</u> | <u>(\$84.12)</u> | <u>\$26.65</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$77.43)</u> | <u>\$14.89</u> | <u>(\$67.30)</u> | <u>\$25.02</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$51.62)</u> | <u>\$3.77</u> | <u>(\$44.87)</u> | <u>\$10.52</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$18.46</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$18.46</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Bland

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | 1 <u>08.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | \$59.80 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class | II) /4/ | | \$30.43 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$30.43) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0060</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1118</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1285</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$217.64)</u> | <u>\$363.69</u> | <u>(\$189.41)</u> | <u>\$391.92</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$272.05)</u> | <u>\$251.15</u> | <u>(\$236.77)</u> | <u>\$286.43</u> |
| III | 1.00 | <u>(\$272.05)</u> | <u>\$115.50</u> | <u>(\$236.77)</u> | <u>\$150.79</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$272.05)</u> | <u>\$37.99</u> | <u>(\$236.77)</u> | <u>\$73.27</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$204.04)</u> | <u>\$28.49</u> | <u>(\$177.58)</u> | <u>\$54.96</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$163.23)</u> | <u>\$30.55</u> | <u>(\$142.06)</u> | <u>\$51.72</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$108.82)</u> | <u>\$7.45</u> | <u>(\$94.71)</u> | <u>\$21.56</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$38.76</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$38.76</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Botetourt

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net I | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" av | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | ble to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$29.67 | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$29.67) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0063</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1121</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1288</u> | | | |
| C Has Value of Annia One | hand and "Other" Or | a h a u d | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER"</u> | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$211.78)</u> | <u>\$353.36</u> | <u>(\$184.37)</u> | <u>\$380.78</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$264.73)</u> | <u>\$243.90</u> | <u>(\$230.46)</u> | <u>\$278.17</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$264.73)</u> | <u>\$112.04</u> | <u>(\$230.46)</u> | <u>\$146.30</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$264.73)</u> | <u>\$36.68</u> | <u>(\$230.46)</u> | <u>\$70.95</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$198.54)</u> | <u>\$27.51</u> | <u>(\$172.85)</u> | <u>\$53.21</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$158.84)</u> | <u>\$29.55</u> | <u>(\$138.28)</u> | <u>\$50.10</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$105.89)</u> | <u>\$7.14</u> | <u>(\$92.18)</u> | <u>\$20.84</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$37.68</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$37.68</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Buena Vista 21/

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (1033) per acre appreable to tax-year 2001 (See Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class I | II) /4/ | | \$18.07 | | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - 3 | 3b) | | (\$18.07) | | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0081</u> | | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1139</u> | | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1306</u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$126.92)</u> | <u>\$209.51</u> | <u>(\$110.72)</u> | <u>\$225.71</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$158.65)</u> | <u>\$144.14</u> | <u>(\$138.40)</u> | <u>\$164.39</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$158.65)</u> | <u>\$65.64</u> | <u>(\$138.40)</u> | <u>\$85.89</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$158.65)</u> | <u>\$20.78</u> | <u>(\$138.40)</u> | <u>\$41.03</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$118.99)</u> | <u>\$15.58</u> | <u>(\$103.80)</u> | <u>\$30.77</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$95.19)</u> | <u>\$16.95</u> | <u>(\$83.04)</u> | <u>\$29.10</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$63.46)</u> | <u>\$3.83</u> | <u>(\$55.36)</u> | <u>\$11.93</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$22.43</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$22.43</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Campbell

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class I | II) /4/ | | \$15.90 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - 3 | 3b) | | (\$15.90) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | ÷ | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0045</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1103</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1269</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$115.33)</u> | <u>\$194.59</u> | <u>(\$100.19)</u> | <u>\$209.73</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$144.16)</u> | <u>\$134.77</u> | <u>(\$125.23)</u> | <u>\$153.70</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$144.16)</u> | <u>\$62.45</u> | <u>(\$125.23)</u> | <u>\$81.38</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$144.16)</u> | <u>\$21.13</u> | <u>(\$125.23)</u> | <u>\$40.06</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$108.12)</u> | <u>\$15.85</u> | <u>(\$93.92)</u> | <u>\$30.04</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$86.50)</u> | <u>\$16.81</u> | <u>(\$75.14)</u> | <u>\$28.17</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$57.66)</u> | <u>\$4.32</u> | <u>(\$50.09)</u> | <u>\$11.89</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$20.66</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$20.66</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Caroline

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years |) (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 year | s) \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 year | rs) \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1 | 993 - 1999. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> 1 | 08.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | (3 | \$59.80) | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("ol | ympic" average of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land or | ly (class III) /4/ | | \$39.46 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees | only (3a - 3b) | | (\$39.46) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0058</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees / | 6/ | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Ra | te | | <u>0.1116</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization R | ate | | <u>0.1283</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$282.88)</u> | <u>\$473.42</u> | <u>(\$246.12)</u> | <u>\$510.18</u> |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$353.60)</u> | <u>\$327.07</u> | <u>(\$307.65)</u> | <u>\$373.02</u> |
| III | 1.00 | <u>(\$353.60)</u> | <u>\$150.60</u> | <u>(\$307.65)</u> | <u>\$196.55</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$353.60)</u> | <u>\$49.76</u> | <u>(\$307.65)</u> | <u>\$95.71</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$265.20)</u> | <u>\$37.32</u> | <u>(\$230.74)</u> | <u>\$71.78</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$212.16)</u> | <u>\$39.94</u> | <u>(\$184.59)</u> | <u>\$67.51</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$141.44)</u> | <u>\$9.82</u> | <u>(\$123.06)</u> | <u>\$28.20</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$50.42</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$50.42</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Chesapeake City

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees (| (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net R | Return for 1993 - 199 | 9. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" av | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributat | ble to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$45.72 | | | |
| c) Net return attributal | ble to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$45.72) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | ÷ | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0122</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Ot | her" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | italization Rate | | | <u>0.1180</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1347</u> | | | |
| C Llas Value of Annula Onel | | - h - n - l | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER"</u> | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$309.97)</u> | <u>\$500.08</u> | <u>(\$271.61)</u> | <u>\$538.45</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$387.47)</u> | <u>\$341.59</u> | <u>(\$339.51)</u> | <u>\$389.54</u> |
| III | 1.00 | <u>(\$387.47)</u> | <u>\$152.57</u> | <u>(\$339.51)</u> | <u>\$200.53</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$387.47)</u> | <u>\$44.56</u> | <u>(\$339.51)</u> | <u>\$92.52</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$290.60)</u> | <u>\$33.42</u> | <u>(\$254.63)</u> | <u>\$69.39</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$232.48)</u> | <u>\$37.54</u> | <u>(\$203.70)</u> | <u>\$66.31</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$154.99)</u> | <u>\$7.03</u> | <u>(\$135.80)</u> | <u>\$26.21</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$54.00</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$54.00</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Chesterfield 17/

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (1033) per acre appreable to tax-year 2001 (See Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class I | II) /4/ | | \$39.13 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - 3 | 3b) | | (\$39.13) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0102</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1160</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1327</u> | | | | |
| O Here Malue of Annula Oneliand and BOth and One | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$269.86)</u> | <u>\$440.20</u> | <u>(\$235.96)</u> | <u>\$474.10</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$337.33)</u> | <u>\$301.73</u> | <u>(\$294.95)</u> | <u>\$344.11</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$337.33)</u> | <u>\$136.04</u> | <u>(\$294.95)</u> | <u>\$178.43</u> | |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$337.33)</u> | <u>\$41.37</u> | <u>(\$294.95)</u> | <u>\$83.75</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$253.00)</u> | <u>\$31.03</u> | <u>(\$221.21)</u> | <u>\$62.81</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$202.40)</u> | <u>\$34.29</u> | <u>(\$176.97)</u> | <u>\$59.72</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$134.93)</u> | <u>\$7.08</u> | <u>(\$117.98)</u> | <u>\$24.03</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$47.34</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$47.34</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Clarke

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" av | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | ble to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$20.69 | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$20.69) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | ÷ | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0074</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | bitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1132</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1298</u> | | | |
| | | - I I | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | <u>Trees and Land /8/</u> | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$146.22)</u> | <u>\$242.41</u> | <u>(\$127.45)</u> | <u>\$261.18</u> | |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$182.78)</u> | <u>\$166.99</u> | <u>(\$159.32)</u> | <u>\$190.45</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$182.78)</u> | <u>\$76.31</u> | <u>(\$159.32)</u> | <u>\$99.77</u> | |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$182.78)</u> | <u>\$24.49</u> | <u>(\$159.32)</u> | <u>\$47.95</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$137.08)</u> | <u>\$18.37</u> | <u>(\$119.49)</u> | <u>\$35.96</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$109.67)</u> | <u>\$19.88</u> | <u>(\$95.59)</u> | <u>\$33.95</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$73.11)</u> | <u>\$4.61</u> | <u>(\$63.73)</u> | <u>\$14.00</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$25.91</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$25.91</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Culpeper

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 y | ears) (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 | years) (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 | 5 years) \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 3 | 0 years) \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return | for 1993 - 1999. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | (| \$59.80) | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and lar | nd ("olympic" average of 2a through | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to la | and only (class III) /4/ | | \$28.19 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to | trees only (3a - 3b) | | (\$28.19) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | 0.0069 | | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Tree | es /5/ | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Tr | ees /6/ | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalizati | on Rate | | <u>0.1127</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitaliza | tion Rate | | <u>0.1293</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$200.12)</u> | <u>\$332.74</u> | <u>(\$174.33)</u> | <u>\$358.53</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$250.15)</u> | <u>\$229.43</u> | <u>(\$217.92)</u> | <u>\$261.66</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$250.15)</u> | <u>\$105.09</u> | <u>(\$217.92)</u> | <u>\$137.33</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$250.15)</u> | <u>\$34.04</u> | <u>(\$217.92)</u> | <u>\$66.28</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$187.61)</u> | <u>\$25.53</u> | <u>(\$163.44)</u> | <u>\$49.71</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$150.09)</u> | <u>\$27.53</u> | <u>(\$130.75)</u> | <u>\$46.87</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$100.06)</u> | <u>\$6.51</u> | <u>(\$87.17)</u> | <u>\$19.41</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$35.52</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$35.52</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Cumberland

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class I | II) /4/ | | \$18.40 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - 3 | 3b) | | (\$18.40) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0035</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1093</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1260</u> | | | | |
| | 1 I | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$134.66)</u> | <u>\$228.59</u> | <u>(\$116.84)</u> | <u>\$246.40</u> |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$168.32)</u> | <u>\$158.60</u> | <u>(\$146.05)</u> | <u>\$180.87</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$168.32)</u> | <u>\$73.84</u> | <u>(\$146.05)</u> | <u>\$96.11</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$168.32)</u> | <u>\$25.41</u> | <u>(\$146.05)</u> | <u>\$47.68</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$126.24)</u> | <u>\$19.06</u> | <u>(\$109.54)</u> | <u>\$35.76</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$100.99)</u> | <u>\$20.09</u> | <u>(\$87.63)</u> | <u>\$33.45</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$67.33)</u> | <u>\$5.32</u> | <u>(\$58.42)</u> | <u>\$14.23</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$24.22</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$24.22</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Danville 16/

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 ye | ears) (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 y | /ears) (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 | 5 years) \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 |) years) \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return | for 1993 - 1999. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$1</u> | 08.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | (5 | \$59.80) | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | <u>(</u> | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and lan | d ("olympic" average of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to la | nd only (class III) /4/ | | \$18.24 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to t | rees only (3a - 3b) | | (\$18.24) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | 0.0065 | | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Tree | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Tre | ees /6/ | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization | | <u>0.1123</u> | | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalizat | ion Rate | | <u>0.1290</u> | | | | |
| 6 Use Value of Apple Orehard or | d "Other" Orehard | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER"</u> | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$129.96)</u> | <u>\$216.56</u> | <u>(\$113.16)</u> | <u>\$233.36</u> |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$162.45)</u> | <u>\$149.42</u> | <u>(\$141.46)</u> | <u>\$170.42</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$162.45)</u> | <u>\$68.57</u> | <u>(\$141.46)</u> | <u>\$89.56</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$162.45)</u> | <u>\$22.36</u> | <u>(\$141.46)</u> | <u>\$43.36</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$121.84)</u> | <u>\$16.77</u> | <u>(\$106.09)</u> | <u>\$32.52</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$97.47)</u> | <u>\$18.04</u> | <u>(\$84.87)</u> | <u>\$30.63</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$64.98)</u> | <u>\$4.33</u> | <u>(\$56.58)</u> | <u>\$12.72</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$23.10</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$23.10</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Dinwiddie County, Coastal Pla.

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre appl | icable to tax-year 2 | 001 (see Table 4 for i | nore detail). | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | |
| b) 1999 | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | |
| c) 1998 | | (| <u>\$46.81)</u> | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$31.39 | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - | - 3b) | | (\$31.39) | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u>,,,,,,,,,</u> | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0064</u> | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1122</u> | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1288</u> | | |
| | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER"</u> | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$223.86)</u> | <u>\$373.36</u> | <u>(\$194.90)</u> | <u>\$402.32</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$279.82)</u> | <u>\$257.67</u> | <u>(\$243.62)</u> | <u>\$293.87</u> |
| III | 1.00 | <u>(\$279.82)</u> | <u>\$118.32</u> | <u>(\$243.62)</u> | <u>\$154.52</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$279.82)</u> | <u>\$38.69</u> | <u>(\$243.62)</u> | <u>\$74.89</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$209.87)</u> | <u>\$29.02</u> | <u>(\$182.72)</u> | <u>\$56.17</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$167.89)</u> | <u>\$31.18</u> | <u>(\$146.17)</u> | <u>\$52.90</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$111.93)</u> | <u>\$7.51</u> | <u>(\$97.45)</u> | <u>\$21.99</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$39.81</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$39.81</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Dinwiddie County, Piedmont 6.

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre appl | licable to tax-year 2 | 001 (see Table 4 for i | nore detail). | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 19 | 99. | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | |
| b) 1999 | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | |
| c) 1998 | | (| <u>\$46.81)</u> | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class | s III) /4/ | | \$16.33 | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a | - 3b) | | (\$16.33) | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | (0.000) | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0064</u> | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1122</u> | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1288</u> | | |
| 0. Here Malana of Annala Oneliand and HO(here HO) | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$116.45)</u> | <u>\$194.22</u> | <u>(\$101.38)</u> | <u>\$209.28</u> |
| П | 1.00 | <u>(\$145.56)</u> | <u>\$134.04</u> | <u>(\$126.73)</u> | <u>\$152.87</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$145.56)</u> | <u>\$61.55</u> | <u>(\$126.73)</u> | <u>\$80.38</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$145.56)</u> | <u>\$20.13</u> | <u>(\$126.73)</u> | <u>\$38.96</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$109.17)</u> | <u>\$15.10</u> | <u>(\$95.05)</u> | <u>\$29.22</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$87.34)</u> | <u>\$16.22</u> | <u>(\$76.04)</u> | <u>\$27.52</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$58.22)</u> | <u>\$3.91</u> | <u>(\$50.69)</u> | <u>\$11.44</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$20.71</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$20.71</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Fairfax* 18/

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 | - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 | - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees (1 | 1 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees (2 | 26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Re | eturn for 1993 - 1999 |). | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees ar | nd land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributable | e to land only (class II | II) /4/ | | \$25.86 | | | |
| c) Net return attributabl | e to trees only (3a - 3 | Bb) | | (\$25.86) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | ÷ | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0108</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple | e Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Othe | er" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | | <u>0.1166</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capit | talization Rate | | | <u>0.1333</u> | | | |
| 6 Llos Value of Apple Oraba | and and "Other" Ore | hard | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$177.45)</u> | <u>\$288.46</u> | <u>(\$155.26)</u> | <u>\$310.65</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$221.82)</u> | <u>\$197.50</u> | <u>(\$194.08)</u> | <u>\$225.24</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$221.82)</u> | <u>\$88.79</u> | <u>(\$194.08)</u> | <u>\$116.53</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$221.82)</u> | <u>\$26.67</u> | <u>(\$194.08)</u> | <u>\$54.41</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$166.36)</u> | <u>\$20.00</u> | <u>(\$145.56)</u> | <u>\$40.81</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$133.09)</u> | <u>\$22.21</u> | <u>(\$116.45)</u> | <u>\$38.86</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$88.73)</u> | <u>\$4.46</u> | <u>(\$77.63)</u> | <u>\$15.55</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$31.06</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$31.06</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Fauquier

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 |). | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | \$59.80 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class I | II) /4/ | | \$19.66 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - 3 | 3b) | | (\$19.66) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0089</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1147</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1313</u> | | | | |
| | to a set | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER"</u> | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$137.20)</u> | <u>\$225.48</u> | <u>(\$119.78)</u> | <u>\$242.89</u> |
| П | 1.00 | <u>(\$171.49)</u> | <u>\$154.91</u> | <u>(\$149.73)</u> | <u>\$176.67</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$171.49)</u> | <u>\$70.29</u> | <u>(\$149.73)</u> | <u>\$92.05</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$171.49)</u> | <u>\$21.93</u> | <u>(\$149.73)</u> | <u>\$43.69</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$128.62)</u> | <u>\$16.45</u> | <u>(\$112.30)</u> | <u>\$32.77</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$102.90)</u> | <u>\$17.99</u> | <u>(\$89.84)</u> | <u>\$31.05</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$68.60)</u> | <u>\$3.94</u> | <u>(\$59.89)</u> | <u>\$12.64</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$24.18</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$24.18</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Floyd

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------|--|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Proce | ssed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 | years) (\$1 | ,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 1 | 0 years) (S | 6719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - | 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - | 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Retur | n for 1993 - 1999. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$1</u> | 08.20) | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | () | \$59.80 <u>)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | <u>(</u> ; | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and I | and ("olympic" average of | 2a through 2 | g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to | land only (class III) /4/ | | | \$27.49 | | | |
| c) Net return attributable t | o trees only (3a - 3b) | | | (\$27.49) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u>. </u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0055</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple T | rees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" | Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | | <u>0.1113</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitali | zation Rate | | | <u>0.1280</u> | | | |
| C Lles Value of Annie Onchand | and "Oth an" Onch and | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER"</u> | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$197.52)</u> | <u>\$331.11</u> | <u>(\$171.80)</u> | <u>\$356.83</u> |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$246.89)</u> | <u>\$228.87</u> | <u>(\$214.74)</u> | <u>\$261.02</u> |
| III | 1.00 | <u>(\$246.89)</u> | <u>\$105.52</u> | <u>(\$214.74)</u> | <u>\$137.67</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$246.89)</u> | <u>\$35.04</u> | <u>(\$214.74)</u> | <u>\$67.19</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$185.17)</u> | <u>\$26.28</u> | <u>(\$161.06)</u> | <u>\$50.39</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$148.14)</u> | <u>\$28.07</u> | <u>(\$128.85)</u> | <u>\$47.36</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$98.76)</u> | <u>\$6.97</u> | <u>(\$85.90)</u> | <u>\$19.83</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$35.24</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$35.24</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Fluvanna

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net I | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$1</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | <u>(</u> ; | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" av | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | ble to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$10.55 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$10.55) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0056</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1114</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1281</u> | | | | |
| C Has Value of Annia One | hand and "Other" O | a h a u d | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$75.78)</u> | <u>\$126.99</u> | <u>(\$65.92)</u> | <u>\$136.85</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$94.73)</u> | <u>\$87.77</u> | <u>(\$82.40)</u> | <u>\$100.10</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$94.73)</u> | <u>\$40.45</u> | <u>(\$82.40)</u> | <u>\$52.78</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$94.73)</u> | <u>\$13.42</u> | <u>(\$82.40)</u> | <u>\$25.75</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$71.05)</u> | <u>\$10.06</u> | <u>(\$61.80)</u> | <u>\$19.31</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$56.84)</u> | <u>\$10.75</u> | <u>(\$49.44)</u> | <u>\$18.15</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$37.89)</u> | <u>\$2.66</u> | <u>(\$32.96)</u> | <u>\$7.60</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$13.52</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$13.52</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Franklin

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | able to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$14.65 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a - | · 3b) | | (\$14.65) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0047</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1105</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1272</u> | | | | |
| C Has Value of Annia One | | a h a n d | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$106.03)</u> | <u>\$178.65</u> | <u>(\$92.14)</u> | <u>\$192.55</u> |
| П | 1.00 | <u>(\$132.54)</u> | <u>\$123.68</u> | <u>(\$115.17)</u> | <u>\$141.05</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$132.54)</u> | <u>\$57.25</u> | <u>(\$115.17)</u> | <u>\$74.62</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$132.54)</u> | <u>\$19.29</u> | <u>(\$115.17)</u> | <u>\$36.66</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$99.41)</u> | <u>\$14.47</u> | <u>(\$86.38)</u> | <u>\$27.50</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$79.52)</u> | <u>\$15.37</u> | <u>(\$69.10)</u> | <u>\$25.79</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$53.02)</u> | <u>\$3.92</u> | <u>(\$46.07)</u> | <u>\$10.87</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$18.98</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$18.98</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Franklin City 20/

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 19 | 99. | | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | \$ <u>59.80)</u> | | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class | ; III) /4/ | | \$27.79 | | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a | - 3b) | | (\$27.79) | | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0083</u> | | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1141</u> | | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1308</u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$194.78)</u> | <u>\$321.12</u> | <u>(\$169.96)</u> | <u>\$345.94</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$243.48)</u> | <u>\$220.83</u> | <u>(\$212.45)</u> | <u>\$251.86</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$243.48)</u> | <u>\$100.46</u> | <u>(\$212.45)</u> | <u>\$131.48</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$243.48)</u> | <u>\$31.67</u> | <u>(\$212.45)</u> | <u>\$62.69</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$182.61)</u> | <u>\$23.75</u> | <u>(\$159.34)</u> | <u>\$47.02</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$146.09)</u> | <u>\$25.88</u> | <u>(\$127.47)</u> | <u>\$44.50</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$97.39)</u> | <u>\$5.79</u> | <u>(\$84.98)</u> | <u>\$18.20</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$34.39</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$34.39</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Frederick

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (| (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (| (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (| (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net R | Return for 1993 - 199 | 9. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees a | and land ("olympic" av | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributab | ole to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$13.74 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributat | ble to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$13.74) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | ÷ | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0053</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of App | ole Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Otl | her" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capi | italization Rate | | | <u>0.1111</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Cap | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1278</u> | | | | |
| 6 Llos Value of Apple Orak | and "Other" Or | abard | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | <u>Trees and Land /8/</u> | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$98.96)</u> | <u>\$166.10</u> | <u>(\$86.05)</u> | <u>\$179.01</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$123.70)</u> | <u>\$114.86</u> | <u>(\$107.56)</u> | <u>\$130.99</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$123.70)</u> | <u>\$53.01</u> | <u>(\$107.56)</u> | <u>\$69.14</u> | |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$123.70)</u> | <u>\$17.67</u> | <u>(\$107.56)</u> | <u>\$33.80</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$92.77)</u> | <u>\$13.25</u> | <u>(\$80.67)</u> | <u>\$25.35</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$74.22)</u> | <u>\$14.14</u> | <u>(\$64.54)</u> | <u>\$23.82</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$49.48)</u> | <u>\$3.53</u> | <u>(\$43.03)</u> | <u>\$9.99</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$17.67</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$17.67</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Fredericksburg 8/

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of | <u>f Trees</u> | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production age | ed trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production ag | ged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production age | ed trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production ag | ed trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Aver | age Net Return for 1993 - 1999 |). | | | | | |
| a) 20 | 000 /2/ | | <u>(\$1</u> | 08.20) | | | |
| b) 19 | 999 | | () | \$59.80 <u>)</u> | | | |
| c) 19 | 998 | | <u>(</u> ; | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | |
| d) 19 | 997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 19 | 996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 19 | 995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 19 | 994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net retur | n to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net retur | n attributable to land only (class l | II) /4/ | | \$26.91 | | | |
| c) Net retur | n attributable to trees only (3a - 3 | Bb) | | (\$26.91) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization F | Rate | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest F | Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property | Тах | | | <u>0.0112</u> | | | |
| c) Deprecia | tion of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Deprecia | tion of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | 0.0500 | | | |
| e) Apple Or | chard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1170</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" O | rchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1337</u> | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$184.00)</u> | <u>\$298.48</u> | <u>(\$161.06)</u> | <u>\$321.42</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$230.00)</u> | <u>\$204.23</u> | <u>(\$201.32)</u> | <u>\$232.91</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$230.00)</u> | <u>\$91.65</u> | <u>(\$201.32)</u> | <u>\$120.33</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$230.00)</u> | <u>\$27.32</u> | <u>(\$201.32)</u> | <u>\$56.00</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$172.50)</u> | <u>\$20.49</u> | <u>(\$150.99)</u> | <u>\$42.00</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$138.00)</u> | <u>\$22.82</u> | <u>(\$120.79)</u> | <u>\$40.03</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$92.00)</u> | <u>\$4.49</u> | <u>(\$80.53)</u> | <u>\$15.97</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$32.17</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$32.17</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Giles

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (| 1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (| 5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (| 11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (| (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net R | eturn for 1993 - 199 | 9. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| \$ <u>59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees a | and land ("olympic" av | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributab | le to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$23.41 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributab | ole to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$23.41) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0057</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of App | le Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Oth | ner" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capi | talization Rate | | | <u>0.1115</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Cap | bitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1282</u> | | | | |
| 6 Llos Value of Apple Orah | and and "Other" Or | hord | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$167.91)</u> | <u>\$281.14</u> | <u>(\$146.08)</u> | <u>\$302.97</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$209.89)</u> | <u>\$194.26</u> | <u>(\$182.60)</u> | <u>\$221.54</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$209.89)</u> | <u>\$89.48</u> | <u>(\$182.60)</u> | <u>\$116.77</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$209.89)</u> | <u>\$29.60</u> | <u>(\$182.60)</u> | <u>\$56.89</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$157.42)</u> | <u>\$22.20</u> | <u>(\$136.95)</u> | <u>\$42.67</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$125.94)</u> | <u>\$23.75</u> | <u>(\$109.56)</u> | <u>\$40.12</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$83.96)</u> | <u>\$5.85</u> | <u>(\$73.04)</u> | <u>\$16.77</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$29.94</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$29.94</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Gloucester

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| The Estimated her retains (1955) per dere approable to tax year 2001 (See Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class I | II) /4/ | | \$32.42 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - 3 | 3b) | | (\$32.42) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | - <u>-</u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0086</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1144</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1311</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$226.73)</u> | <u>\$373.22</u> | <u>(\$197.89)</u> | <u>\$402.05</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$283.41)</u> | <u>\$256.54</u> | <u>(\$247.37)</u> | <u>\$292.58</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$283.41)</u> | <u>\$116.55</u> | <u>(\$247.37)</u> | <u>\$152.60</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$283.41)</u> | <u>\$36.56</u> | <u>(\$247.37)</u> | <u>\$72.60</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$212.56)</u> | <u>\$27.42</u> | <u>(\$185.52)</u> | <u>\$54.45</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$170.05)</u> | <u>\$29.94</u> | <u>(\$148.42)</u> | <u>\$51.56</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$113.36)</u> | <u>\$6.63</u> | <u>(\$98.95)</u> | <u>\$21.04</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$40.00</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$40.00</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Goochland

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 y | ears) (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 | years) (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 | 5 years) \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 3 | 0 years) \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return | for 1993 - 1999. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$1</u> | 08.20) | | | |
| b) 1999 | | (3 | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and lan | d ("olympic" average of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to la | and only (class III) /4/ | | \$20.94 | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to t | trees only (3a - 3b) | | (\$20.94) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | <u>0.0060</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Tree | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Tr | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalizati | | <u>0.1118</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalizat | tion Rate | | <u>0.1285</u> | | | |
| 6 Use Velue of Apple Orehard a | ad "Other" Orchard | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$149.76)</u> | <u>\$250.28</u> | <u>(\$130.34)</u> | <u>\$269.70</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$187.20)</u> | <u>\$172.83</u> | <u>(\$162.92)</u> | <u>\$197.11</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$187.20)</u> | <u>\$79.49</u> | <u>(\$162.92)</u> | <u>\$103.77</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$187.20)</u> | <u>\$26.15</u> | <u>(\$162.92)</u> | <u>\$50.43</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$140.40)</u> | <u>\$19.61</u> | <u>(\$122.19)</u> | <u>\$37.82</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$112.32)</u> | <u>\$21.02</u> | <u>(\$97.75)</u> | <u>\$35.59</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$74.88)</u> | <u>\$5.13</u> | <u>(\$65.17)</u> | <u>\$14.84</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$26.67</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$26.67</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Greene

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class | II) /4/ | | \$23.13 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$23.13) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0070</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1128</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1294</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$164.08)</u> | <u>\$272.65</u> | <u>(\$142.95)</u> | <u>\$293.78</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$205.09)</u> | <u>\$187.96</u> | <u>(\$178.69)</u> | <u>\$214.37</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$205.09)</u> | <u>\$86.06</u> | <u>(\$178.69)</u> | <u>\$112.46</u> | |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$205.09)</u> | <u>\$27.83</u> | <u>(\$178.69)</u> | <u>\$54.23</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$153.82)</u> | <u>\$20.87</u> | <u>(\$134.02)</u> | <u>\$40.68</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$123.06)</u> | <u>\$22.52</u> | <u>(\$107.21)</u> | <u>\$38.36</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$82.04)</u> | <u>\$5.31</u> | <u>(\$71.47)</u> | <u>\$15.87</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$29.12</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$29.12</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Halifax

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | |
| b) 1999 | | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | |
| c) 1998 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | |
| b) Net return attributa | able to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$22.38 | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a - | · 3b) | | (\$22.38) | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | ÷ | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0030</u> | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | | <u>0.1088</u> | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1254</u> | | |
| C Has Value of Annia One | hand and "Oth an" O | a h a n d | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$164.60)</u> | <u>\$280.38</u> | <u>(\$142.73)</u> | <u>\$302.25</u> | |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$205.75)</u> | <u>\$194.74</u> | <u>(\$178.41)</u> | <u>\$222.07</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$205.75)</u> | <u>\$90.91</u> | <u>(\$178.41)</u> | <u>\$118.24</u> | |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$205.75)</u> | <u>\$31.58</u> | <u>(\$178.41)</u> | <u>\$58.91</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$154.31)</u> | <u>\$23.68</u> | <u>(\$133.81)</u> | <u>\$44.18</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$123.45)</u> | <u>\$24.88</u> | <u>(\$107.05)</u> | <u>\$41.28</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$82.30)</u> | <u>\$6.70</u> | <u>(\$71.36)</u> | <u>\$17.63</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$29.67</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$29.67</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Hampton 7/

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 19 | 999. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(</u> \$1 | 08.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | (5 | \$59.80) | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | <u>(</u> ; | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" a | average of 2a through 2 | g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (clas | s III) /4/ | | \$32.03 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a) | - 3b) | | (\$32.03) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | <u>0.0118</u> | | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | <u>0.1176</u> | | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1343</u> | | | | |
| 6 Llos Volue of Apple Orebord and "Other" (| Veherd | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$217.82)</u> | <u>\$352.07</u> | <u>(\$190.78)</u> | <u>\$379.10</u> | |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$272.27)</u> | <u>\$240.63</u> | <u>(\$238.48)</u> | <u>\$274.42</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$272.27)</u> | <u>\$107.66</u> | <u>(\$238.48)</u> | <u>\$141.44</u> | |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$272.27)</u> | <u>\$31.67</u> | <u>(\$238.48)</u> | <u>\$65.46</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$204.20)</u> | <u>\$23.75</u> | <u>(\$178.86)</u> | <u>\$49.09</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$163.36)</u> | <u>\$26.60</u> | <u>(\$143.09)</u> | <u>\$46.87</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$108.91)</u> | <u>\$5.07</u> | <u>(\$95.39)</u> | <u>\$18.59</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$37.99</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$37.99</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Hanover County, Coastal Plai .

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre a | pplicable to tax-year 20 | 01 (see Table 4 for i | nore detail). | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - | 1999. | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | |
| b) 1999 | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | |
| c) 1998 | | (| <u>\$46.81)</u> | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic | c" average of 2a through 2g | g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (c | lass III) /4/ | | \$39.24 | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (| (3a - 3b) | | (\$39.24) | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u>,,,,,,</u> | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | 0.0062 | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1120</u> | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1287</u> | | |
| | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$280.32)</u> | <u>\$468.03</u> | <u>(\$244.00)</u> | <u>\$504.35</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$350.40)</u> | <u>\$323.12</u> | <u>(\$305.01)</u> | <u>\$368.51</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$350.40)</u> | <u>\$148.50</u> | <u>(\$305.01)</u> | <u>\$193.90</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$350.40)</u> | <u>\$48.72</u> | <u>(\$305.01)</u> | <u>\$94.12</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$262.80)</u> | <u>\$36.54</u> | <u>(\$228.75)</u> | <u>\$70.59</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$210.24)</u> | <u>\$39.21</u> | <u>(\$183.00)</u> | <u>\$66.45</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$140.16)</u> | <u>\$9.51</u> | <u>(\$122.00)</u> | <u>\$27.67</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$49.89</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$49.89</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.
Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Hanover County, Piedmont- 8/.

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre ap | plicable to tax-year 2 | 001 (see Table 4 for ı | nore detail). | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1 | 999. | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | |
| b) 1999 | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | |
| c) 1998 | | (| <u>\$46.81)</u> | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" | average of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (cla | ss III) /4/ | | \$26.91 | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a | a - 3b) | | (\$26.91) | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | 0.0062 | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1120</u> | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1287</u> | | |
| | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$192.22)</u> | <u>\$320.93</u> | <u>(\$167.32)</u> | <u>\$345.83</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$240.27)</u> | <u>\$221.56</u> | <u>(\$209.14)</u> | <u>\$252.69</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$240.27)</u> | <u>\$101.83</u> | <u>(\$209.14)</u> | <u>\$132.96</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$240.27)</u> | <u>\$33.41</u> | <u>(\$209.14)</u> | <u>\$64.54</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$180.20)</u> | <u>\$25.06</u> | <u>(\$156.86)</u> | <u>\$48.40</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$144.16)</u> | <u>\$26.89</u> | <u>(\$125.49)</u> | <u>\$45.56</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$96.11)</u> | <u>\$6.52</u> | <u>(\$83.66)</u> | <u>\$18.97</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$34.21</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$34.21</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Harrisonburg 13/

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 | 8 - 1999. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | \$ <u>59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olym | pic" average of 2a through 2 | .g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only | (class III) /4/ | | \$45.27 | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only | y (3a - 3b) | | (\$45.27) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | <u>0.0057</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1115</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1282</u> | | | |
| | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER"</u> | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$324.71)</u> | <u>\$543.64</u> | <u>(\$282.50)</u> | <u>\$585.85</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$405.89)</u> | <u>\$375.63</u> | <u>(\$353.12)</u> | <u>\$428.39</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$405.89)</u> | <u>\$173.01</u> | <u>(\$353.12)</u> | <u>\$225.78</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$405.89)</u> | <u>\$57.23</u> | <u>(\$353.12)</u> | <u>\$110.00</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$304.42)</u> | <u>\$42.92</u> | <u>(\$264.84)</u> | <u>\$82.50</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$243.53)</u> | <u>\$45.92</u> | <u>(\$211.87)</u> | <u>\$77.58</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$162.36)</u> | <u>\$11.31</u> | <u>(\$141.25)</u> | <u>\$32.42</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$57.89</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$57.89</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Henrico County, Coastal Plain .

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre app | olicable to tax-year 20 | 001 (see Table 4 for i | nore detail). | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 19 | 999. | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | |
| b) 1999 | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | |
| c) 1998 | | (| <u>\$46.81)</u> | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" | average of 2a through 2 | g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (clas | s III) /4/ | | \$39.24 | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a | i - 3b) | | (\$39.24) | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u>,,,,,,</u> | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0088</u> | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1146</u> | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1313</u> | | |
| | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$273.96)</u> | <u>\$450.45</u> | <u>(\$239.17)</u> | <u>\$485.23</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$342.45)</u> | <u>\$309.52</u> | <u>(\$298.96)</u> | <u>\$353.00</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$342.45)</u> | <u>\$140.49</u> | <u>(\$298.96)</u> | <u>\$183.97</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$342.45)</u> | <u>\$43.90</u> | <u>(\$298.96)</u> | <u>\$87.39</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$256.84)</u> | <u>\$32.93</u> | <u>(\$224.22)</u> | <u>\$65.54</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$205.47)</u> | <u>\$36.00</u> | <u>(\$179.38)</u> | <u>\$62.09</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$136.98)</u> | <u>\$7.90</u> | <u>(\$119.59)</u> | <u>\$25.30</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$48.29</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$48.29</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Henrico County, Piedmont 8/ .

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre app | licable to tax-year 2 | 001 (see Table 4 for i | nore detail). | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|---|----------------------|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 19 | 99. | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | |
| b) 1999 | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | |
| c) 1998 | | (| <u>\$46.81)</u> | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" a | average of 2a through 2 | eg) /3/ | \$0.00 | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (clas | s III) /4/ | | \$26.91 | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a | - 3b) | | (\$26.91) | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u>,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u> | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0088</u> | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1146</u> | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1313</u> | | |
| | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$187.86)</u> | <u>\$308.87</u> | <u>(\$164.00)</u> | <u>\$332.73</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$234.82)</u> | <u>\$212.24</u> | <u>(\$205.00)</u> | <u>\$242.06</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$234.82)</u> | <u>\$96.33</u> | <u>(\$205.00)</u> | <u>\$126.15</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$234.82)</u> | <u>\$30.10</u> | <u>(\$205.00)</u> | <u>\$59.92</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$176.11)</u> | <u>\$22.58</u> | <u>(\$153.75)</u> | <u>\$44.94</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$140.89)</u> | <u>\$24.68</u> | <u>(\$123.00)</u> | <u>\$42.58</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$93.93)</u> | <u>\$5.42</u> | <u>(\$82.00)</u> | <u>\$17.35</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$33.12</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$33.12</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Henry

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 y | vears) (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 | years) (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 2 | 5 years) \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 3 | 0 years) \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return | for 1993 - 1999. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$1</u> | 08.20) | | | |
| b) 1999 | | (5 | \$59.80) | | | |
| c) 1998 | | (3 | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and lar | nd ("olympic" average of 2a through | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to la | and only (class III) /4/ | | \$7.94 | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to | trees only (3a - 3b) | | (\$7.94) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | <u>0.0051</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Tre | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Tr | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalizati | ion Rate | | <u>0.1109</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitaliza | tion Rate | | <u>0.1276</u> | | | |
| | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$57.29)</u> | <u>\$96.29</u> | <u>(\$49.81)</u> | <u>\$103.78</u> |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$71.61)</u> | <u>\$66.61</u> | <u>(\$62.26)</u> | <u>\$75.97</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$71.61)</u> | <u>\$30.77</u> | <u>(\$62.26)</u> | <u>\$40.13</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$71.61)</u> | <u>\$10.30</u> | <u>(\$62.26)</u> | <u>\$19.65</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$53.71)</u> | <u>\$7.72</u> | <u>(\$46.69)</u> | <u>\$14.74</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$42.97)</u> | <u>\$8.23</u> | <u>(\$37.36)</u> | <u>\$13.84</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$28.65)</u> | <u>\$2.07</u> | <u>(\$24.90)</u> | <u>\$5.81</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$10.24</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$10.24</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Isle Of Wight

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | |
| b) 1999 | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class | II) /4/ | | \$27.79 | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$27.79) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0067</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | <u>0.1125</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1292</u> | | | |
| 6 Use Value of Apple Orehard and "Other" Or | hard | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$197.59)</u> | <u>\$328.87</u> | <u>(\$172.09)</u> | <u>\$354.37</u> |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$246.99)</u> | <u>\$226.83</u> | <u>(\$215.12)</u> | <u>\$258.70</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$246.99)</u> | <u>\$103.99</u> | <u>(\$215.12)</u> | <u>\$135.86</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$246.99)</u> | <u>\$33.79</u> | <u>(\$215.12)</u> | <u>\$65.66</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$185.24)</u> | <u>\$25.34</u> | <u>(\$161.34)</u> | <u>\$49.25</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$148.19)</u> | <u>\$27.30</u> | <u>(\$129.07)</u> | <u>\$46.42</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$98.79)</u> | <u>\$6.50</u> | <u>(\$86.05)</u> | <u>\$19.24</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$35.10</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$35.10</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in James City

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net F | Return for 1993 - 199 | 9. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" av | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributal | ble to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$32.03 | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | ble to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$32.03) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0076</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of App | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Ot | her" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | italization Rate | | | <u>0.1134</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1301</u> | | | |
| 6 Haa Value of Apple Oral | hard and "Other" Or | ahard | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$225.94)</u> | <u>\$374.05</u> | <u>(\$196.99)</u> | <u>\$403.00</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$282.42)</u> | <u>\$257.57</u> | <u>(\$246.24)</u> | <u>\$293.76</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$282.42)</u> | <u>\$117.57</u> | <u>(\$246.24)</u> | <u>\$153.76</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$282.42)</u> | <u>\$37.57</u> | <u>(\$246.24)</u> | <u>\$73.76</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$211.82)</u> | <u>\$28.18</u> | <u>(\$184.68)</u> | <u>\$55.32</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$169.45)</u> | <u>\$30.54</u> | <u>(\$147.74)</u> | <u>\$52.26</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$112.97)</u> | <u>\$7.03</u> | <u>(\$98.49)</u> | <u>\$21.50</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$40.00</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$40.00</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in King George

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | able to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$37.29 | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a - | · 3b) | | (\$37.29) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0067</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1125</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1291</u> | | | |
| C Has Value of Annia One | hand and "Oth an" O | a h a s d | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$265.23)</u> | <u>\$441.53</u> | <u>(\$231.00)</u> | <u>\$475.76</u> |
| П | 1.00 | <u>(\$331.54)</u> | <u>\$304.55</u> | <u>(\$288.75)</u> | <u>\$347.34</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$331.54)</u> | <u>\$139.64</u> | <u>(\$288.75)</u> | <u>\$182.43</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$331.54)</u> | <u>\$45.40</u> | <u>(\$288.75)</u> | <u>\$88.19</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$248.65)</u> | <u>\$34.05</u> | <u>(\$216.56)</u> | <u>\$66.14</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$198.92)</u> | <u>\$36.67</u> | <u>(\$173.25)</u> | <u>\$62.34</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$132.62)</u> | <u>\$8.74</u> | <u>(\$115.50)</u> | <u>\$25.85</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$47.12</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$47.12</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in King William

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (| (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net R | Return for 1993 - 199 | 9. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" av | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributat | ble to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$39.24 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributal | ble to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$39.24) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | ÷ | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0064</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Appreciation | ole Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Ot | her" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | italization Rate | | | <u>0.1122</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1289</u> | | | | |
| 6 Llos Value of Apple Oral | hand and "Other" Or | abard | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$279.72)</u> | <u>\$466.36</u> | <u>(\$243.55)</u> | <u>\$502.53</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$349.65)</u> | <u>\$321.82</u> | <u>(\$304.44)</u> | <u>\$367.03</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$349.65)</u> | <u>\$147.73</u> | <u>(\$304.44)</u> | <u>\$192.95</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$349.65)</u> | <u>\$48.26</u> | <u>(\$304.44)</u> | <u>\$93.47</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$262.24)</u> | <u>\$36.19</u> | <u>(\$228.33)</u> | <u>\$70.10</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$209.79)</u> | <u>\$38.90</u> | <u>(\$182.66)</u> | <u>\$66.03</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$139.86)</u> | <u>\$9.36</u> | <u>(\$121.78)</u> | <u>\$27.44</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$49.74</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$49.74</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Lancaster

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | <u>\$0.00</u> | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class l | III) /4/ | | \$37.54 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$37.54) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0045</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1103</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1269</u> | | | | |
| C. Has Value of Annia Orehand and "Other" Or | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$272.41)</u> | <u>\$459.70</u> | <u>(\$236.64)</u> | <u>\$495.47</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$340.52)</u> | <u>\$318.38</u> | <u>(\$295.80)</u> | <u>\$363.10</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$340.52)</u> | <u>\$147.56</u> | <u>(\$295.80)</u> | <u>\$192.27</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$340.52)</u> | <u>\$49.94</u> | <u>(\$295.80)</u> | <u>\$94.66</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$255.39)</u> | <u>\$37.46</u> | <u>(\$221.85)</u> | <u>\$70.99</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$204.31)</u> | <u>\$39.73</u> | <u>(\$177.48)</u> | <u>\$66.56</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$136.21)</u> | <u>\$10.22</u> | <u>(\$118.32)</u> | <u>\$28.10</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$48.81</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$48.81</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Loudoun

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - | - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - | 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 | - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 | 6 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Ret | urn for 1993 - 1999. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| \$ <u>59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and | d land ("olympic" ave | rage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributable | to land only (class III |) /4/ | | \$25.86 | | | |
| c) Net return attributable | to trees only (3a - 3 | b) | | (\$25.86) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0095</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple | Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other | r" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capital | ization Rate | | | <u>0.1153</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capita | alization Rate | | | <u>0.1320</u> | | | |
| 6 Llos Value of Apple Orehor | d and "Other" Oral | and | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE O | <u>RCHARD</u> | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$179.48)</u> | <u>\$293.93</u> | <u>(\$156.81)</u> | <u>\$316.60</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$224.36)</u> | <u>\$201.72</u> | <u>(\$196.02)</u> | <u>\$230.06</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$224.36)</u> | <u>\$91.25</u> | <u>(\$196.02)</u> | <u>\$119.59</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$224.36)</u> | <u>\$28.13</u> | <u>(\$196.02)</u> | <u>\$56.47</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$168.27)</u> | <u>\$21.10</u> | <u>(\$147.01)</u> | <u>\$42.35</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$134.61)</u> | <u>\$23.19</u> | <u>(\$117.61)</u> | <u>\$40.19</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$89.74)</u> | <u>\$4.94</u> | <u>(\$78.41)</u> | <u>\$16.28</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$31.56</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$31.56</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Louisa

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | able to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$23.82 | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$23.82) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0058</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1116</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1283</u> | | | |
| C Llas Value of Annia One | | a h a u d | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$170.68)</u> | <u>\$285.60</u> | <u>(\$148.51)</u> | <u>\$307.78</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$213.35)</u> | <u>\$197.30</u> | <u>(\$185.64)</u> | <u>\$225.02</u> |
| III | 1.00 | <u>(\$213.35)</u> | <u>\$90.84</u> | <u>(\$185.64)</u> | <u>\$118.55</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$213.35)</u> | <u>\$30.00</u> | <u>(\$185.64)</u> | <u>\$57.72</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$160.02)</u> | <u>\$22.50</u> | <u>(\$139.23)</u> | <u>\$43.29</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$128.01)</u> | <u>\$24.08</u> | <u>(\$111.38)</u> | <u>\$40.71</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$85.34)</u> | <u>\$5.92</u> | <u>(\$74.25)</u> | <u>\$17.00</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$30.42</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$30.42</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Lynchburg 9/

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net I | Return for 1993 - 19 | 99. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | ble to land only (class | s III) /4/ | | \$14.29 | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a | - 3b) | | (\$14.29) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0105</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1163</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1329</u> | | | |
| C Llas Value of Annia One | hand and "Oth an" O | nah and | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$98.33)</u> | <u>\$160.15</u> | <u>(\$86.00)</u> | <u>\$172.47</u> |
| П | 1.00 | <u>(\$122.91)</u> | <u>\$109.72</u> | <u>(\$107.50)</u> | <u>\$125.13</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$122.91)</u> | <u>\$49.41</u> | <u>(\$107.50)</u> | <u>\$64.82</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$122.91)</u> | <u>\$14.94</u> | <u>(\$107.50)</u> | <u>\$30.35</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$92.18)</u> | <u>\$11.21</u> | <u>(\$80.62)</u> | <u>\$22.77</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$73.74)</u> | <u>\$12.41</u> | <u>(\$64.50)</u> | <u>\$21.66</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$49.16)</u> | <u>\$2.53</u> | <u>(\$43.00)</u> | <u>\$8.70</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$17.23</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$17.23</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Madison

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | <u>P</u> | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - | 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - | 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 | - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 | 6 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Retu | urn for 1993 - 1999. | | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and | l land ("olympic" avera | ge of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable | to land only (class III) | /4/ | | \$42.60 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable | to trees only (3a - 3b) | | | (\$42.60) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | ÷ | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0054</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple | Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other | " Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitali | ization Rate | | | <u>0.1112</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capita | lization Rate | | | <u>0.1279</u> | | | | |
| 6 Llos Value of Apple Orcher | d and "Other" Oraha | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$306.46)</u> | <u>\$514.11</u> | <u>(\$266.51)</u> | <u>\$554.06</u> | |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$383.07)</u> | <u>\$355.44</u> | <u>(\$333.14)</u> | <u>\$405.37</u> | |
| III | 1.00 | <u>(\$383.07)</u> | <u>\$163.97</u> | <u>(\$333.14)</u> | <u>\$213.90</u> | |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$383.07)</u> | <u>\$54.57</u> | <u>(\$333.14)</u> | <u>\$104.49</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$287.30)</u> | <u>\$40.92</u> | <u>(\$249.86)</u> | <u>\$78.37</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$229.84)</u> | <u>\$43.68</u> | <u>(\$199.89)</u> | <u>\$73.64</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$153.23)</u> | <u>\$10.89</u> | <u>(\$133.26)</u> | <u>\$30.86</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$54.70</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$54.70</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Manassas 10/

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 19 | 99. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | able to land only (class | s III) /4/ | | \$17.40 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a | - 3b) | | (\$17.40) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | ÷ | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0118</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ople Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1176</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1343</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE O | RCHARD | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$118.37)</u> | <u>\$191.37</u> | <u>(\$103.68)</u> | <u>\$206.06</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$147.97)</u> | <u>\$130.80</u> | <u>(\$129.60)</u> | <u>\$149.17</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$147.97)</u> | <u>\$58.53</u> | <u>(\$129.60)</u> | <u>\$76.89</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$147.97)</u> | <u>\$17.23</u> | <u>(\$129.60)</u> | <u>\$35.60</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$110.98)</u> | <u>\$12.92</u> | <u>(\$97.20)</u> | <u>\$26.70</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$88.78)</u> | <u>\$14.47</u> | <u>(\$77.76)</u> | <u>\$25.49</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$59.19)</u> | <u>\$2.76</u> | <u>(\$51.84)</u> | <u>\$10.11</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$20.65</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$20.65</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Middlesex

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net F | Return for 1993 - 199 | 9. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" av | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | ble to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$36.31 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | ble to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$36.31) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | - <u>-</u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0046</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Of | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | bitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1104</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1270</u> | | | | |
| 6 Llos Value of Apple Ore | hard and "Other" Or | ahard | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE O | <u>RCHARD</u> | "OTHER" ORCHARD | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$263.17)</u> | <u>\$443.78</u> | <u>(\$228.65)</u> | <u>\$478.30</u> | |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$328.97)</u> | <u>\$307.29</u> | <u>(\$285.81)</u> | <u>\$350.45</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$328.97)</u> | <u>\$142.33</u> | <u>(\$285.81)</u> | <u>\$185.49</u> | |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$328.97)</u> | <u>\$48.07</u> | <u>(\$285.81)</u> | <u>\$91.23</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$246.73)</u> | <u>\$36.05</u> | <u>(\$214.36)</u> | <u>\$68.42</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$197.38)</u> | <u>\$38.27</u> | <u>(\$171.49)</u> | <u>\$64.16</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$131.59)</u> | <u>\$9.80</u> | <u>(\$114.32)</u> | <u>\$27.07</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$47.13</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$47.13</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Montgomery

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - | - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - | 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 | - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 | 6 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Ret | urn for 1993 - 1999. | | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and | d land ("olympic" aver | age of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable | to land only (class III |) /4/ | | \$42.37 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable | to trees only (3a - 3l | o) | | (\$42.37) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | ÷ | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0061</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple | Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other | r" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capital | ization Rate | | | <u>0.1119</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capita | alization Rate | | | <u>0.1285</u> | | | | |
| C Lles Value of Annie Orshor | | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$302.97)</u> | <u>\$506.18</u> | <u>(\$263.68)</u> | <u>\$545.46</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$378.71)</u> | <u>\$349.52</u> | <u>(\$329.60)</u> | <u>\$398.63</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$378.71)</u> | <u>\$160.72</u> | <u>(\$329.60)</u> | <u>\$209.83</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$378.71)</u> | <u>\$52.84</u> | <u>(\$329.60)</u> | <u>\$101.94</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$284.03)</u> | <u>\$39.63</u> | <u>(\$247.20)</u> | <u>\$76.46</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$227.22)</u> | <u>\$42.49</u> | <u>(\$197.76)</u> | <u>\$71.95</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$151.48)</u> | <u>\$10.35</u> | <u>(\$131.84)</u> | <u>\$29.99</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$53.94</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$53.94</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Nelson

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class | II) /4/ | | \$6.80 | | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$6.80) | | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | - <u>-</u> | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0065</u> | | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1123</u> | | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1289</u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$48.48)</u> | <u>\$80.80</u> | <u>(\$42.21)</u> | <u>\$87.07</u> | |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$60.60)</u> | <u>\$55.76</u> | <u>(\$52.77)</u> | <u>\$63.59</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$60.60)</u> | <u>\$25.59</u> | <u>(\$52.77)</u> | <u>\$33.42</u> | |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$60.60)</u> | <u>\$8.35</u> | <u>(\$52.77)</u> | <u>\$16.18</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$45.45)</u> | <u>\$6.26</u> | <u>(\$39.58)</u> | <u>\$12.14</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$36.36)</u> | <u>\$6.73</u> | <u>(\$31.66)</u> | <u>\$11.43</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$24.24)</u> | <u>\$1.62</u> | <u>(\$21.11)</u> | <u>\$4.75</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$8.62</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$8.62</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in New Kent* 7/

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 199 | 9. | | | N | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | (\$ | 108.20) | \sim | |
| b) 1999 | | | \$59.80) | | |
| c) 1998 | | (| \$46.81) |) | |
| d) 1997 | | | \$88.77 | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | X | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" av | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$32.03 | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$32.03) | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u>(402.00)</u> | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | |
| b) Property Tax | × (| | <u>0.0069</u> | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | 0.9 | | <u>0.0500</u> | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | S O S | | <u>0.1127</u> | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1294</u> | | |
| 6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Or | chard | | | | |
| | APPLE ORCHAR | <u>RD</u> | "OTHER" | ORCHARD | |
| Land Class Orchard Index /7/ | rees Only Tre | es and Land /8/ | <u> rees Only</u> | <u>Trees and Land /8/</u> | |
| I 0.80 (| <u>\$227.26)</u> | <u>\$377.72</u> | <u>(\$197.99)</u> | <u>\$406.99</u> | |
| II 1.00 <u>(</u> | <u>\$284.08)</u> | <u>\$260.40</u> | <u>(\$247.49)</u> | <u>\$296.99</u> | |
| III 1.00 <u>(</u> | <u>\$284.08)</u> | <u>\$119.24</u> | <u>(\$247.49)</u> | <u>\$155.83</u> | |
| Ⅳ 1.00 <u>(</u> | <u>\$284.08)</u> | <u>\$38.58</u> | <u>(\$247.49)</u> | <u>\$75.16</u> | |
| V 0.75 <u>(</u> | <u>\$213.06)</u> | <u>\$28.93</u> | <u>(\$185.62)</u> | <u>\$56.37</u> | |
| VI 0.60 <u>(</u> | \$170.45 <u>)</u> | <u>\$31.21</u> | <u>(\$148.50)</u> | <u>\$53.16</u> | |
| VII 0.40 <u>(</u> | <u>\$113.63)</u> | <u>\$7.36</u> | <u>(\$99.00)</u> | <u>\$22.00</u> | |
| VIII 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$40.33</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$40.33</u> | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | |

These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.
 This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the

percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Newport News 7/

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | |
| b) 1999 | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class | II) /4/ | | \$32.03 | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$32.03) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0114</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1172</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1339</u> | | | |
| 6 Use Value of Apple Orehard and "Other" Or | hard | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$218.56)</u> | <u>\$354.05</u> | <u>(\$191.35)</u> | <u>\$381.25</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$273.20)</u> | <u>\$242.15</u> | <u>(\$239.19)</u> | <u>\$276.15</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$273.20)</u> | <u>\$108.54</u> | <u>(\$239.19)</u> | <u>\$142.54</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$273.20)</u> | <u>\$32.19</u> | <u>(\$239.19)</u> | <u>\$66.20</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$204.90)</u> | <u>\$24.14</u> | <u>(\$179.39)</u> | <u>\$49.65</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$163.92)</u> | <u>\$26.95</u> | <u>(\$143.52)</u> | <u>\$47.35</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$109.28)</u> | <u>\$5.24</u> | <u>(\$95.68)</u> | <u>\$18.84</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$38.17</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$38.17</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Northampton

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net F | Return for 1993 - 199 | 9. | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" av | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | |
| b) Net return attributa | ble to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$33.80 | | |
| c) Net return attributa | ble to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$33.80) | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | - <u>-</u> | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0058</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Of | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | | <u>0.1116</u> | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1283</u> | | |
| 6 Llos Value of Apple Ore | hard and "Other" Or | abard | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$242.29)</u> | <u>\$405.50</u> | <u>(\$210.81)</u> | <u>\$436.98</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$302.86)</u> | <u>\$280.15</u> | <u>(\$263.51)</u> | <u>\$319.50</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$302.86)</u> | <u>\$129.00</u> | <u>(\$263.51)</u> | <u>\$168.35</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$302.86)</u> | <u>\$42.62</u> | <u>(\$263.51)</u> | <u>\$81.98</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$227.15)</u> | <u>\$31.97</u> | <u>(\$197.63)</u> | <u>\$61.48</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$181.72)</u> | <u>\$34.21</u> | <u>(\$158.11)</u> | <u>\$57.82</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$121.15)</u> | <u>\$8.41</u> | <u>(\$105.40)</u> | <u>\$24.15</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$43.19</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$43.19</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Northumberland

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (1055) per dere appin | | | nore detail). | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$^</u> | 08.20) | | |
| b) 1999 | | <u>(</u> ; | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | |
| c) 1998 | | <u>(</u>) | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class I | III) /4/ | | \$42.73 | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - 3 | 3b) | | (\$42.73) | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0044</u> | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1102</u> | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1269</u> | | |
| | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$310.16)</u> | <u>\$523.50</u> | <u>(\$269.42)</u> | <u>\$564.24</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$387.70)</u> | <u>\$362.59</u> | <u>(\$336.78)</u> | <u>\$413.51</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$387.70)</u> | <u>\$168.07</u> | <u>(\$336.78)</u> | <u>\$218.99</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$387.70)</u> | <u>\$56.92</u> | <u>(\$336.78)</u> | <u>\$107.84</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$290.78)</u> | <u>\$42.69</u> | <u>(\$252.58)</u> | <u>\$80.88</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$232.62)</u> | <u>\$45.27</u> | <u>(\$202.07)</u> | <u>\$75.82</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$155.08)</u> | <u>\$11.65</u> | <u>(\$134.71)</u> | <u>\$32.02</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$55.58</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$55.58</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Nottoway

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 | 8 - 1999. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$1</u> | 08.20) | | | |
| b) 1999 | | (3 | \$59.80) | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olym | pic" average of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only | (class III) /4/ | | \$16.33 | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only | y (3a - 3b) | | (\$16.33) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0050</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1108</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1275</u> | | | |
| | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$117.87)</u> | <u>\$198.21</u> | <u>(\$102.46)</u> | <u>\$213.62</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$147.33)</u> | <u>\$137.14</u> | <u>(\$128.07)</u> | <u>\$156.40</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$147.33)</u> | <u>\$63.38</u> | <u>(\$128.07)</u> | <u>\$82.65</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$147.33)</u> | <u>\$21.24</u> | <u>(\$128.07)</u> | <u>\$40.50</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$110.50)</u> | <u>\$15.93</u> | <u>(\$96.05)</u> | <u>\$30.38</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$88.40)</u> | <u>\$16.96</u> | <u>(\$76.84)</u> | <u>\$28.52</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$58.93)</u> | <u>\$4.28</u> | <u>(\$51.23)</u> | <u>\$11.99</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$21.07</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$21.07</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Orange

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 | - 1999. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$1</u> | 08.20) | | | |
| b) 1999 | | (5 | \$59.80) | | | |
| c) 1998 | | <u>(</u> ; | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olymp | bic" average of 2a through 2 | g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only | (class III) /4/ | | \$26.19 | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only | / (3a - 3b) | | (\$26.19) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0057</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1115</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1282</u> | | | |
| | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$187.92)</u> | <u>\$314.71</u> | <u>(\$163.48)</u> | <u>\$339.15</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$234.90)</u> | <u>\$217.47</u> | <u>(\$204.35)</u> | <u>\$248.02</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$234.90)</u> | <u>\$100.19</u> | <u>(\$204.35)</u> | <u>\$130.74</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$234.90)</u> | <u>\$33.17</u> | <u>(\$204.35)</u> | <u>\$63.72</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$176.17)</u> | <u>\$24.88</u> | <u>(\$153.26)</u> | <u>\$47.79</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$140.94)</u> | <u>\$26.60</u> | <u>(\$122.61)</u> | <u>\$44.93</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$93.96)</u> | <u>\$6.57</u> | <u>(\$81.74)</u> | <u>\$18.79</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$33.51</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$33.51</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Page

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years |) \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years | s) \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 19 | 93 - 1999. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$1</u> | 08.20) | | | |
| b) 1999 | | (3 | \$59.80) | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("oly | mpic" average of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only | y (class III) /4/ | | \$29.48 | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees o | nly (3a - 3b) | | (\$29.48) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u>. </u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0046</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6 | / | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rat | e | | <u>0.1104</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Ra | te | | <u>0.1271</u> | | | |
| | h a will O wash a wal | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$213.65)</u> | <u>\$360.19</u> | <u>(\$185.62)</u> | <u>\$388.21</u> |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$267.06)</u> | <u>\$249.40</u> | <u>(\$232.03)</u> | <u>\$284.42</u> |
| III | 1.00 | <u>(\$267.06)</u> | <u>\$115.50</u> | <u>(\$232.03)</u> | <u>\$150.53</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$267.06)</u> | <u>\$38.99</u> | <u>(\$232.03)</u> | <u>\$74.02</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$200.29)</u> | <u>\$29.24</u> | <u>(\$174.02)</u> | <u>\$55.51</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$160.23)</u> | <u>\$31.04</u> | <u>(\$139.22)</u> | <u>\$52.06</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$106.82)</u> | <u>\$7.94</u> | <u>(\$92.81)</u> | <u>\$21.96</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$38.26</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$38.26</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Petersburg 5/

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | 1 <u>08.20)</u> | | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | \$59.80 <u>)</u> | | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$31.39 | | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$31.39) | | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0136</u> | | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1194</u> | | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1361</u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$210.30)</u> | <u>\$336.74</u> | <u>(\$184.54)</u> | <u>\$362.50</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$262.88)</u> | <u>\$229.46</u> | <u>(\$230.68)</u> | <u>\$261.66</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$262.88)</u> | <u>\$101.82</u> | <u>(\$230.68)</u> | <u>\$134.02</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$262.88)</u> | <u>\$28.88</u> | <u>(\$230.68)</u> | <u>\$61.08</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$197.16)</u> | <u>\$21.66</u> | <u>(\$173.01)</u> | <u>\$45.81</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$157.73)</u> | <u>\$24.62</u> | <u>(\$138.41)</u> | <u>\$43.94</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$105.15)</u> | <u>\$4.26</u> | <u>(\$92.27)</u> | <u>\$17.14</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$36.47</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$36.47</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Pittsylvania

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processo | ed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 | 4 years) (\$1,34 | 5.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 1 | 10 years) (\$71 | 9.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - | 25 years) \$57 | 79.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - | - 30 years) \$15 | 56.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return | rn for 1993 - 1999. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| \$ <u>59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | <u>(</u>) | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and | land ("olympic" average of 2a | through 2 | eg) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to | o land only (class III) /4/ | | | \$18.24 | | | |
| c) Net return attributable t | to trees only (3a - 3b) | | | (\$18.24) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0042</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" | Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitaliz | ation Rate | | | <u>0.1100</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitali | zation Rate | | | <u>0.1267</u> | | | |
| 6 Upp Value of Apple Orchard | and "Other" Orchard | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$132.70)</u> | <u>\$224.31</u> | <u>(\$115.24)</u> | <u>\$241.77</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$165.87)</u> | <u>\$155.44</u> | <u>(\$144.05)</u> | <u>\$177.26</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$165.87)</u> | <u>\$72.13</u> | <u>(\$144.05)</u> | <u>\$93.96</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$165.87)</u> | <u>\$24.53</u> | <u>(\$144.05)</u> | <u>\$46.36</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$124.41)</u> | <u>\$18.40</u> | <u>(\$108.03)</u> | <u>\$34.77</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$99.52)</u> | <u>\$19.48</u> | <u>(\$86.43)</u> | <u>\$32.58</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$66.35)</u> | <u>\$5.05</u> | <u>(\$57.62)</u> | <u>\$13.78</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$23.80</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$23.80</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Powhatan

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 | - 1999. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$1</u> | 08.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | (5 | \$59.80) | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | (3 | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olymp | oic" average of 2a through 2 | g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only | (class III) /4/ | | \$18.29 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only | r (3a - 3b) | | (\$18.29) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | <u>0.0067</u> | | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | <u>0.1125</u> | | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1292</u> | | | | |
| A Hard Malers of Annals Analysis of the | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$130.04)</u> | <u>\$216.44</u> | <u>(\$113.26)</u> | <u>\$233.22</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$162.55)</u> | <u>\$149.28</u> | <u>(\$141.58)</u> | <u>\$170.25</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$162.55)</u> | <u>\$68.44</u> | <u>(\$141.58)</u> | <u>\$89.41</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$162.55)</u> | <u>\$22.24</u> | <u>(\$141.58)</u> | <u>\$43.21</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$121.91)</u> | <u>\$16.68</u> | <u>(\$106.18)</u> | <u>\$32.41</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$97.53)</u> | <u>\$17.96</u> | <u>(\$84.95)</u> | <u>\$30.55</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$65.02)</u> | <u>\$4.28</u> | <u>(\$56.63)</u> | <u>\$12.67</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$23.10</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$23.10</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Prince Edward

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class I | II) /4/ | | \$21.71 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - 3 | 3b) | | (\$21.71) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | - <u>-</u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0039</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1097</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1264</u> | | | | |
| 6 Use Value of Apple Orehard and "Other" Ore | hard | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$158.32)</u> | <u>\$268.06</u> | <u>(\$137.44)</u> | <u>\$288.93</u> |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$197.90)</u> | <u>\$185.84</u> | <u>(\$171.80)</u> | <u>\$211.94</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$197.90)</u> | <u>\$86.35</u> | <u>(\$171.80)</u> | <u>\$112.45</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$197.90)</u> | <u>\$29.50</u> | <u>(\$171.80)</u> | <u>\$55.60</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$148.42)</u> | <u>\$22.13</u> | <u>(\$128.85)</u> | <u>\$41.70</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$118.74)</u> | <u>\$23.39</u> | <u>(\$103.08)</u> | <u>\$39.04</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$79.16)</u> | <u>\$6.12</u> | <u>(\$68.72)</u> | <u>\$16.55</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$28.43</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$28.43</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Prince George

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 year | ars) (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 ye | ears) (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 | years) \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 | years) \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for | or 1993 - 1999. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$1</u> | 08.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | <u>(</u> ; | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land | ("olympic" average of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to lan | d only (class III) /4/ | | \$31.39 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to tre | ees only (3a - 3b) | | (\$31.39) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | <u>0.0075</u> | | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Tree | es /6/ | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization | | <u>0.1133</u> | | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization | on Rate | | <u>0.1300</u> | | | | |
| C Lles Value of Annie Orchard on | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$221.61)</u> | <u>\$367.09</u> | <u>(\$193.19)</u> | <u>\$395.51</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$277.01)</u> | <u>\$252.82</u> | <u>(\$241.49)</u> | <u>\$288.34</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$277.01)</u> | <u>\$115.46</u> | <u>(\$241.49)</u> | <u>\$150.98</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$277.01)</u> | <u>\$36.97</u> | <u>(\$241.49)</u> | <u>\$72.49</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$207.76)</u> | <u>\$27.72</u> | <u>(\$181.11)</u> | <u>\$54.37</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$166.20)</u> | <u>\$30.03</u> | <u>(\$144.89)</u> | <u>\$51.34</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$110.80)</u> | <u>\$6.94</u> | <u>(\$96.59)</u> | <u>\$21.15</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$39.25</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$39.25</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Prince William

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Proc | essed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 | 4 years) (\$ | 1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 1 | 0 years) | \$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - | 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 | - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Retu | rn for 1993 - 1999. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| \$59.80 <u>)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and | land ("olympic" average o | f 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to | and only (class III) /4/ | | | \$17.40 | | | |
| c) Net return attributable | to trees only (3a - 3b) | | | (\$17.40) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0131</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" | Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | | <u>0.1189</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitali | zation Rate | | | <u>0.1355</u> | | | |
| C Lles Value of Annie Oneboud | and "Other" Orchard | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$117.13)</u> | <u>\$188.09</u> | <u>(\$102.72)</u> | <u>\$202.49</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$146.41)</u> | <u>\$128.28</u> | <u>(\$128.41)</u> | <u>\$146.29</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$146.41)</u> | <u>\$57.07</u> | <u>(\$128.41)</u> | <u>\$75.07</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$146.41)</u> | <u>\$16.37</u> | <u>(\$128.41)</u> | <u>\$34.38</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$109.81)</u> | <u>\$12.28</u> | <u>(\$96.30)</u> | <u>\$25.78</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$87.85)</u> | <u>\$13.89</u> | <u>(\$77.04)</u> | <u>\$24.70</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$58.56)</u> | <u>\$2.48</u> | <u>(\$51.36)</u> | <u>\$9.68</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$20.35</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$20.35</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Pulaski

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | |
| b) 1999 | | | () | \$59.80 <u>)</u> | | |
| c) 1998 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" av | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | |
| b) Net return attributa | able to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$30.71 | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$30.71) | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0058</u> | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | | <u>0.1116</u> | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1283</u> | | |
| C Has Value of Annia One | | a h a u d | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$220.05)</u> | <u>\$368.17</u> | <u>(\$191.47)</u> | <u>\$396.75</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$275.06)</u> | <u>\$254.33</u> | <u>(\$239.34)</u> | <u>\$290.06</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$275.06)</u> | <u>\$117.08</u> | <u>(\$239.34)</u> | <u>\$152.81</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$275.06)</u> | <u>\$38.65</u> | <u>(\$239.34)</u> | <u>\$74.38</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$206.30)</u> | <u>\$28.99</u> | <u>(\$179.50)</u> | <u>\$55.79</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$165.04)</u> | <u>\$31.03</u> | <u>(\$143.60)</u> | <u>\$52.47</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$110.03)</u> | <u>\$7.62</u> | <u>(\$95.73)</u> | <u>\$21.91</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$39.21</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$39.21</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Radford 11/

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (1055) per dere appin | | 001 (300 18510 41011 | nore detail). | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | |
| b) 1999 | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | |
| c) 1998 | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class I | II) /4/ | | \$42.37 | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - 3 | 3b) | | (\$42.37) | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | - <u>-</u> | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0059</u> | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | <u>0.1117</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1284</u> | | |
| C. Has Value of Annia Onchand and "Other" On | la a u al | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER"</u> | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$303.34)</u> | <u>\$507.24</u> | <u>(\$263.97)</u> | <u>\$546.62</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$379.18)</u> | <u>\$350.35</u> | <u>(\$329.96)</u> | <u>\$399.57</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$379.18)</u> | <u>\$161.21</u> | <u>(\$329.96)</u> | <u>\$210.43</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$379.18)</u> | <u>\$53.13</u> | <u>(\$329.96)</u> | <u>\$102.35</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$284.39)</u> | <u>\$39.85</u> | <u>(\$247.47)</u> | <u>\$76.76</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$227.51)</u> | <u>\$42.69</u> | <u>(\$197.98)</u> | <u>\$72.22</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$151.67)</u> | <u>\$10.45</u> | <u>(\$131.99)</u> | <u>\$30.13</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$54.04</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$54.04</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Rappahannock

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | |
| Early-production aged trees (| (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net R | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" av | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | |
| b) Net return attributat | ble to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$24.05 | | |
| c) Net return attributal | ble to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$24.05) | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0062</u> | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Ot | her" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | | <u>0.1120</u> | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1287</u> | | |
| C Llas Value of Annula Onel | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER"</u> | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$171.73)</u> | <u>\$286.65</u> | <u>(\$149.50)</u> | <u>\$308.89</u> |
| П | 1.00 | <u>(\$214.67)</u> | <u>\$197.88</u> | <u>(\$186.87)</u> | <u>\$225.68</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$214.67)</u> | <u>\$90.92</u> | <u>(\$186.87)</u> | <u>\$118.72</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$214.67)</u> | <u>\$29.80</u> | <u>(\$186.87)</u> | <u>\$57.60</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$161.00)</u> | <u>\$22.35</u> | <u>(\$140.15)</u> | <u>\$43.20</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$128.80)</u> | <u>\$23.99</u> | <u>(\$112.12)</u> | <u>\$40.67</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$85.87)</u> | <u>\$5.81</u> | <u>(\$74.75)</u> | <u>\$16.93</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$30.56</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$30.56</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Richmond

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| | | | nore acturij. | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 |). | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | \$59.80 <u>)</u> | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class I | II) /4/ | | \$39.90 | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - 3 | 3b) | | (\$39.90) | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0059</u> | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1117</u> | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1283</u> | | |
| | to a set | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$285.88)</u> | <u>\$478.25</u> | <u>(\$248.76)</u> | <u>\$515.38</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$357.35)</u> | <u>\$330.37</u> | <u>(\$310.94)</u> | <u>\$376.78</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$357.35)</u> | <u>\$152.07</u> | <u>(\$310.94)</u> | <u>\$198.48</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$357.35)</u> | <u>\$50.18</u> | <u>(\$310.94)</u> | <u>\$96.59</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$268.02)</u> | <u>\$37.64</u> | <u>(\$233.21)</u> | <u>\$72.45</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$214.41)</u> | <u>\$40.30</u> | <u>(\$186.57)</u> | <u>\$68.14</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$142.94)</u> | <u>\$9.89</u> | <u>(\$124.38)</u> | <u>\$28.45</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$50.94</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$50.94</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Roanoke

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | |
| Pre-production aged trees (| 1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | |
| Early-production aged trees (| 5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | |
| Full-production aged trees (| 11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | |
| Late-production aged trees (| (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net R | eturn for 1993 - 199 | 9. | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | |
| b) 1999 | | | <u>(</u> | \$59.80) | | |
| c) 1998 | | | (| <u>\$46.81)</u> | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees a | and land ("olympic" av | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | |
| b) Net return attributab | le to land only (class | II) /4/ | | \$18.59 | | |
| c) Net return attributab | ole to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$18.59) | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0103</u> | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | | <u>0.1161</u> | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Cap | italization Rate | | | <u>0.1328</u> | | |
| C Has Value of Annia Orah | | h a n d | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$128.08)</u> | <u>\$208.78</u> | <u>(\$112.00)</u> | <u>\$224.85</u> |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$160.10)</u> | <u>\$143.07</u> | <u>(\$140.00)</u> | <u>\$163.17</u> |
| III | 1.00 | <u>(\$160.10)</u> | <u>\$64.47</u> | <u>(\$140.00)</u> | <u>\$84.57</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$160.10)</u> | <u>\$19.56</u> | <u>(\$140.00)</u> | <u>\$39.66</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$120.07)</u> | <u>\$14.67</u> | <u>(\$105.00)</u> | <u>\$29.74</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$96.06)</u> | <u>\$16.23</u> | <u>(\$84.00)</u> | <u>\$28.28</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$64.04)</u> | <u>\$3.33</u> | <u>(\$56.00)</u> | <u>\$11.37</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$22.46</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$22.46</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.
Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Roanoke City 12/

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (1033) per acre appreable to tax-year 2001 (See Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | 08.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class I | II) /4/ | | \$18.59 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - 3 | 3b) | | (\$18.59) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0115</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1173</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1339</u> | | | | |
| C. Has Value of Annia Onchand and "Other" On | de a u al | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$126.80)</u> | <u>\$205.36</u> | <u>(\$111.02)</u> | <u>\$221.14</u> |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$158.50)</u> | <u>\$140.44</u> | <u>(\$138.78)</u> | <u>\$160.17</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$158.50)</u> | <u>\$62.94</u> | <u>(\$138.78)</u> | <u>\$82.66</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$158.50)</u> | <u>\$18.65</u> | <u>(\$138.78)</u> | <u>\$38.37</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$118.87)</u> | <u>\$13.99</u> | <u>(\$104.08)</u> | <u>\$28.78</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$95.10)</u> | <u>\$15.62</u> | <u>(\$83.27)</u> | <u>\$27.45</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$63.40)</u> | <u>\$3.03</u> | <u>(\$55.51)</u> | <u>\$10.92</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$22.14</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$22.14</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Rockbridge

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net F | Return for 1993 - 199 | 9. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| \$ <u>59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" av | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributal | ble to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$18.07 | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | ble to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$18.07) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0049</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of App | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Ot | her" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | italization Rate | | | <u>0.1107</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1274</u> | | | |
| | | - h - u - l | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$130.60)</u> | <u>\$219.79</u> | <u>(\$113.51)</u> | <u>\$236.88</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$163.25)</u> | <u>\$152.10</u> | <u>(\$141.89)</u> | <u>\$173.46</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$163.25)</u> | <u>\$70.34</u> | <u>(\$141.89)</u> | <u>\$91.70</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$163.25)</u> | <u>\$23.62</u> | <u>(\$141.89)</u> | <u>\$44.99</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$122.44)</u> | <u>\$17.72</u> | <u>(\$106.42)</u> | <u>\$33.74</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$97.95)</u> | <u>\$18.85</u> | <u>(\$85.13)</u> | <u>\$31.66</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$65.30)</u> | <u>\$4.78</u> | <u>(\$56.76)</u> | <u>\$13.32</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$23.36</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$23.36</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Rockingham

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 | - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 | - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 | 1 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (20 | 6 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Ret | turn for 1993 - 1999 | | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| \$ <u>59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees an | d land ("olympic" ave | rage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable | to land only (class II | l) /4/ | | \$45.27 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable | e to trees only (3a - 3 | b) | | (\$45.27) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0060</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple | Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Othe | r" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capita | lization Rate | | | <u>0.1118</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capita | alization Rate | | | <u>0.1284</u> | | | | |
| 6 Llos Value of Apple Oroba | rd and "Other" Oral | a a r d | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$324.07)</u> | <u>\$541.84</u> | <u>(\$282.02)</u> | <u>\$583.90</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$405.09)</u> | <u>\$374.23</u> | <u>(\$352.52)</u> | <u>\$426.81</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$405.09)</u> | <u>\$172.18</u> | <u>(\$352.52)</u> | <u>\$224.76</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$405.09)</u> | <u>\$56.73</u> | <u>(\$352.52)</u> | <u>\$109.30</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$303.82)</u> | <u>\$42.55</u> | <u>(\$264.39)</u> | <u>\$81.98</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$243.06)</u> | <u>\$45.58</u> | <u>(\$211.51)</u> | <u>\$77.13</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$162.04)</u> | <u>\$11.15</u> | <u>(\$141.01)</u> | <u>\$32.18</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$57.73</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$57.73</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Russell

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 ye | ears) (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 | years) (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 | 5 years) \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 3 | 0 years) \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return | for 1993 - 1999. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$1</u> | 08.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | (3) | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | <u>(</u> | <u> 46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and lan | d ("olympic" average of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to la | nd only (class III) /4/ | | \$20.95 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to t | rees only (3a - 3b) | | (\$20.95) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0056</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Tree | es /5/ | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Tre | ees /6/ | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization | on Rate | | <u>0.1114</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalizat | tion Rate | | <u>0.1280</u> | | | | |
| 6 Upp Volue of Apple Orchard or | d "Other" Orchard | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER"</u> | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$150.50)</u> | <u>\$252.21</u> | <u>(\$130.91)</u> | <u>\$271.80</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$188.12)</u> | <u>\$174.32</u> | <u>(\$163.63)</u> | <u>\$198.80</u> |
| III | 1.00 | <u>(\$188.12)</u> | <u>\$80.35</u> | <u>(\$163.63)</u> | <u>\$104.84</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$188.12)</u> | <u>\$26.66</u> | <u>(\$163.63)</u> | <u>\$51.14</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$141.09)</u> | <u>\$19.99</u> | <u>(\$122.73)</u> | <u>\$38.36</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$112.87)</u> | <u>\$21.36</u> | <u>(\$98.18)</u> | <u>\$36.06</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$75.25)</u> | <u>\$5.29</u> | <u>(\$65.45)</u> | <u>\$15.09</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$26.85</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$26.85</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Shenandoah

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" av | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | ble to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$30.63 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$30.63) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0054</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1112</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1279</u> | | | | |
| C Llas Value of Annia One | hand and "Other" O | a h a u d | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$220.29)</u> | <u>\$369.49</u> | <u>(\$191.58)</u> | <u>\$398.19</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$275.36)</u> | <u>\$255.44</u> | <u>(\$239.48)</u> | <u>\$291.32</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$275.36)</u> | <u>\$117.82</u> | <u>(\$239.48)</u> | <u>\$153.71</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$275.36)</u> | <u>\$39.19</u> | <u>(\$239.48)</u> | <u>\$75.07</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$206.52)</u> | <u>\$29.39</u> | <u>(\$179.61)</u> | <u>\$56.30</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$165.22)</u> | <u>\$31.38</u> | <u>(\$143.69)</u> | <u>\$52.90</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$110.14)</u> | <u>\$7.81</u> | <u>(\$95.79)</u> | <u>\$22.16</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$39.32</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$39.32</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Smyth

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 19 | 99. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | able to land only (class | s III) /4/ | | \$43.48 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a | - 3b) | | (\$43.48) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | ÷ | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0056</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ople Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1114</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1281</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$312.26)</u> | <u>\$523.24</u> | <u>(\$271.62)</u> | <u>\$563.88</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$390.33)</u> | <u>\$361.63</u> | <u>(\$339.53)</u> | <u>\$412.43</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$390.33)</u> | <u>\$166.68</u> | <u>(\$339.53)</u> | <u>\$217.47</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$390.33)</u> | <u>\$55.27</u> | <u>(\$339.53)</u> | <u>\$106.07</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$292.75)</u> | <u>\$41.46</u> | <u>(\$254.65)</u> | <u>\$79.56</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$234.20)</u> | <u>\$44.30</u> | <u>(\$203.72)</u> | <u>\$74.78</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$156.13)</u> | <u>\$10.97</u> | <u>(\$135.81)</u> | <u>\$31.29</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$55.70</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$55.70</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Spotsylvania

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Pro | <u>cessed Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - | 4 years) (| \$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - | 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 | - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 | - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Retu | urn for 1993 - 1999. | | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$1</u> | 08.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | <u>(</u> ; | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and | l land ("olympic" average | of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable t | to land only (class III) /4/ | , | | \$26.91 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable | to trees only (3a - 3b) | | | (\$26.91) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0080</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple | Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other' | " Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitali | zation Rate | | | <u>0.1138</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capital | lization Rate | | | <u>0.1305</u> | | | | |
| 6 Llos Value of Apple Orehow | d and "Other" Orchard | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER"</u> | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$189.14)</u> | <u>\$312.40</u> | <u>(\$164.98)</u> | <u>\$336.56</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$236.43)</u> | <u>\$214.96</u> | <u>(\$206.23)</u> | <u>\$245.16</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$236.43)</u> | <u>\$97.93</u> | <u>(\$206.23)</u> | <u>\$128.14</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$236.43)</u> | <u>\$31.06</u> | <u>(\$206.23)</u> | <u>\$61.26</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$177.32)</u> | <u>\$23.30</u> | <u>(\$154.67)</u> | <u>\$45.95</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$141.86)</u> | <u>\$25.32</u> | <u>(\$123.74)</u> | <u>\$43.45</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$94.57)</u> | <u>\$5.74</u> | <u>(\$82.49)</u> | <u>\$17.82</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$33.44</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$33.44</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Stafford

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 | - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 | - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (1 | 1 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (2 | 26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Re | turn for 1993 - 1999 |). | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees an | nd land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable | e to land only (class l | II) /4/ | | \$25.01 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable | e to trees only (3a - 3 | Bb) | | (\$25.01) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | ÷ | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0098</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple | e Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Othe | er" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capita | alization Rate | | | <u>0.1156</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capit | talization Rate | | | <u>0.1322</u> | | | | |
| 6 Llos Value of Ample Oraha | and and "Other" Ore | hard | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$173.09)</u> | <u>\$282.99</u> | <u>(\$151.28)</u> | <u>\$304.81</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$216.36)</u> | <u>\$194.11</u> | <u>(\$189.09)</u> | <u>\$221.38</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$216.36)</u> | <u>\$87.69</u> | <u>(\$189.09)</u> | <u>\$114.96</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$216.36)</u> | <u>\$26.88</u> | <u>(\$189.09)</u> | <u>\$54.15</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$162.27)</u> | <u>\$20.16</u> | <u>(\$141.82)</u> | <u>\$40.61</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$129.82)</u> | <u>\$22.21</u> | <u>(\$113.46)</u> | <u>\$38.57</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$86.55)</u> | <u>\$4.67</u> | <u>(\$75.64)</u> | <u>\$15.58</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$30.41</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$30.41</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Staunton 14/

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$1</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | <u>(</u> ; | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | able to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$34.68 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$34.68) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0092</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1150</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1317</u> | | | | |
| C Llas Value of Annia One | | a h a u d | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER"</u> | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$241.28)</u> | <u>\$395.81</u> | <u>(\$210.74)</u> | <u>\$426.36</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$301.61)</u> | <u>\$271.78</u> | <u>(\$263.42)</u> | <u>\$309.96</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$301.61)</u> | <u>\$123.13</u> | <u>(\$263.42)</u> | <u>\$161.31</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$301.61)</u> | <u>\$38.18</u> | <u>(\$263.42)</u> | <u>\$76.36</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$226.20)</u> | <u>\$28.63</u> | <u>(\$197.57)</u> | <u>\$57.27</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$180.96)</u> | <u>\$31.40</u> | <u>(\$158.05)</u> | <u>\$54.31</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$120.64)</u> | <u>\$6.78</u> | <u>(\$105.37)</u> | <u>\$22.05</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$42.47</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$42.47</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Suffolk City

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (| 1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (§ | 5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (| 11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (| (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net R | eturn for 1993 - 199 | 9. | | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees a | and land ("olympic" av | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| b) Net return attributab | le to land only (class l | III) /4/ | | \$32.14 | | | | | |
| c) Net return attributab | ole to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$32.14) | | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | ÷ | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0097</u> | | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of App | le Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Oth | ner" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capit | talization Rate | | | <u>0.1155</u> | | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Cap | italization Rate | | | <u>0.1322</u> | | | | | |
| 6 Has Value of Apple Orah | and and "Other" Or | hord | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$222.67)</u> | <u>\$364.24</u> | <u>(\$194.59)</u> | <u>\$392.32</u> | |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$278.34)</u> | <u>\$249.88</u> | <u>(\$243.23)</u> | <u>\$284.98</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$278.34)</u> | <u>\$112.94</u> | <u>(\$243.23)</u> | <u>\$148.04</u> | |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$278.34)</u> | <u>\$34.68</u> | <u>(\$243.23)</u> | <u>\$69.78</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$208.75)</u> | <u>\$26.01</u> | <u>(\$182.43)</u> | <u>\$52.34</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$167.00)</u> | <u>\$28.63</u> | <u>(\$145.94)</u> | <u>\$49.70</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$111.33)</u> | <u>\$6.05</u> | <u>(\$97.29)</u> | <u>\$20.09</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$39.13</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$39.13</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Tazewell

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 19 | 99. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | able to land only (class | s III) /4/ | | \$36.46 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a | - 3b) | | (\$36.46) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | ÷ | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0049</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ople Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1107</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1274</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE O | <u>RCHARD</u> | "OTHER" ORCHARD | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$263.36)</u> | <u>\$443.07</u> | <u>(\$228.91)</u> | <u>\$477.53</u> | |
| I | 1.00 | <u>(\$329.20)</u> | <u>\$306.59</u> | <u>(\$286.14)</u> | <u>\$349.65</u> | |
| III | 1.00 | <u>(\$329.20)</u> | <u>\$141.75</u> | <u>(\$286.14)</u> | <u>\$184.82</u> | |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$329.20)</u> | <u>\$47.56</u> | <u>(\$286.14)</u> | <u>\$90.63</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$246.90)</u> | <u>\$35.67</u> | <u>(\$214.61)</u> | <u>\$67.97</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$197.52)</u> | <u>\$37.96</u> | <u>(\$171.68)</u> | <u>\$63.79</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$131.68)</u> | <u>\$9.61</u> | <u>(\$114.46)</u> | <u>\$26.83</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$47.10</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$47.10</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Virginia Beach

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$1</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | () | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" av | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | ble to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$50.57 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$50.57) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | ÷ | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0106</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1164</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1331</u> | | | | |
| C Has Value of Annia One | hand and "Other" O | a h a u d | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$347.60)</u> | <u>\$565.74</u> | <u>(\$304.06)</u> | <u>\$609.28</u> | |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$434.50)</u> | <u>\$387.50</u> | <u>(\$380.08)</u> | <u>\$441.93</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$434.50)</u> | <u>\$174.39</u> | <u>(\$380.08)</u> | <u>\$228.82</u> | |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$434.50)</u> | <u>\$52.61</u> | <u>(\$380.08)</u> | <u>\$107.04</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$325.88)</u> | <u>\$39.46</u> | <u>(\$285.06)</u> | <u>\$80.28</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$260.70)</u> | <u>\$43.74</u> | <u>(\$228.05)</u> | <u>\$76.40</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$173.80)</u> | <u>\$8.87</u> | <u>(\$152.03)</u> | <u>\$30.64</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$60.89</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$60.89</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Warren

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 19 | 99. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | able to land only (class | s III) /4/ | | \$6.60 | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a | - 3b) | | (\$6.60) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | . <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0056</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ople Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1114</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1280</u> | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$47.42)</u> | <u>\$79.48</u> | <u>(\$41.25)</u> | <u>\$85.65</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$59.28)</u> | <u>\$54.93</u> | <u>(\$51.56)</u> | <u>\$62.65</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$59.28)</u> | <u>\$25.32</u> | <u>(\$51.56)</u> | <u>\$33.04</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$59.28)</u> | <u>\$8.40</u> | <u>(\$51.56)</u> | <u>\$16.12</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$44.46)</u> | <u>\$6.30</u> | <u>(\$38.67)</u> | <u>\$12.09</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$35.57)</u> | <u>\$6.73</u> | <u>(\$30.94)</u> | <u>\$11.36</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$23.71)</u> | <u>\$1.67</u> | <u>(\$20.62)</u> | <u>\$4.76</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$8.46</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$8.46</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Washington

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - | 1999. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$1</u> | 08.20) | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | (3 | \$59.80 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic | " average of 2a through 2g | g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (cl | ass III) /4/ | | \$39.72 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (| 3a - 3b) | | (\$39.72) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0058</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | <u>0.1116</u> | | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1283</u> | | | | |
| 6 Llos Volue of Apple Orehard and "Other" | Orchard | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | <u>Trees and Land /8/</u> | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$284.64)</u> | <u>\$476.26</u> | <u>(\$247.67)</u> | <u>\$513.24</u> | |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$355.80)</u> | <u>\$329.01</u> | <u>(\$309.58)</u> | <u>\$375.23</u> | |
| III | 1.00 | <u>(\$355.80)</u> | <u>\$151.47</u> | <u>(\$309.58)</u> | <u>\$197.69</u> | |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$355.80)</u> | <u>\$50.01</u> | <u>(\$309.58)</u> | <u>\$96.23</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$266.85)</u> | <u>\$37.51</u> | <u>(\$232.19)</u> | <u>\$72.17</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$213.48)</u> | <u>\$40.15</u> | <u>(\$185.75)</u> | <u>\$67.88</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$142.32)</u> | <u>\$9.86</u> | <u>(\$123.83)</u> | <u>\$28.35</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$50.73</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$50.73</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Waynesboro 14/

1 Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail)

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| Age of Trees | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Pre-production aged trees (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | |
| Late-production aged trees (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Return for 1993 - 1999 | 9. | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | <u>(</u> | <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class | II) /4/ | | \$34.68 | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$34.68) | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | <u>0.0084</u> | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1142</u> | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1309</u> | | | | |
| 6 Use Value of Apple Orehard and "Other" Or | hard | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE O | <u>RCHARD</u> | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$242.91)</u> | <u>\$400.25</u> | <u>(\$211.98)</u> | <u>\$431.18</u> | |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$303.64)</u> | <u>\$275.21</u> | <u>(\$264.97)</u> | <u>\$313.87</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$303.64)</u> | <u>\$125.14</u> | <u>(\$264.97)</u> | <u>\$163.80</u> | |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$303.64)</u> | <u>\$39.38</u> | <u>(\$264.97)</u> | <u>\$78.05</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$227.73)</u> | <u>\$29.54</u> | <u>(\$198.73)</u> | <u>\$58.54</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$182.18)</u> | <u>\$32.20</u> | <u>(\$158.98)</u> | <u>\$55.40</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$121.46)</u> | <u>\$7.18</u> | <u>(\$105.99)</u> | <u>\$22.64</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$42.88</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$42.88</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Westmoreland

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees (1 | 1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees (5 | 5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees (1 | 11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees (2 | 26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net Re | eturn for 1993 - 1999 |). | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | 108.20) | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| \$ <u>59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | \$46.81 <u>)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees a | nd land ("olympic" ave | erage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributabl | e to land only (class I | II) /4/ | | \$42.57 | | | |
| c) Net return attributabl | le to trees only (3a - 3 | Bb) | | (\$42.57) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0058</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Appl | e Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Oth | er" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | | <u>0.1116</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Capi | italization Rate | | | <u>0.1283</u> | | | |
| 6 Llos Value of Apple Orab | and and "Other" Ore | hard | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ | |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$305.17)</u> | <u>\$510.69</u> | <u>(\$265.51)</u> | <u>\$550.34</u> | |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$381.46)</u> | <u>\$352.82</u> | <u>(\$331.89)</u> | <u>\$402.38</u> | |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$381.46)</u> | <u>\$162.45</u> | <u>(\$331.89)</u> | <u>\$212.01</u> | |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$381.46)</u> | <u>\$53.67</u> | <u>(\$331.89)</u> | <u>\$103.23</u> | |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$286.09)</u> | <u>\$40.25</u> | <u>(\$248.92)</u> | <u>\$77.42</u> | |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$228.87)</u> | <u>\$43.08</u> | <u>(\$199.14)</u> | <u>\$72.82</u> | |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$152.58)</u> | <u>\$10.59</u> | <u>(\$132.76)</u> | <u>\$30.41</u> | |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$54.39</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$54.39</u> | |
| | | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Winchester 19/

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net F | Return for 1993 - 19 | 99. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | ble to land only (class | s III) /4/ | | \$13.74 | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | ble to trees only (3a | - 3b) | | (\$13.74) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0056</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Of | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Cap | italization Rate | | | <u>0.1114</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1281</u> | | | |
| C Lles Value of Annie One | | a h a n d | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" | ORCHARD |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$98.69)</u> | <u>\$165.35</u> | <u>(\$85.85)</u> | <u>\$178.19</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$123.36)</u> | <u>\$114.28</u> | <u>(\$107.31)</u> | <u>\$130.33</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$123.36)</u> | <u>\$52.66</u> | <u>(\$107.31)</u> | <u>\$68.72</u> |
| N | 1.00 | <u>(\$123.36)</u> | <u>\$17.46</u> | <u>(\$107.31)</u> | <u>\$33.51</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$92.52)</u> | <u>\$13.09</u> | <u>(\$80.48)</u> | <u>\$25.13</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$74.02)</u> | <u>\$14.00</u> | <u>(\$64.39)</u> | <u>\$23.63</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$49.35)</u> | <u>\$3.46</u> | <u>(\$42.92)</u> | <u>\$9.88</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$17.60</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$17.60</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in Wythe

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | |
| Full-production aged trees | (11 - 25 years) | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | | | <u>(\$</u> | <u>108.20)</u> | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | (| <u>\$59.80)</u> | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>\$46.81)</u> | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees | and land ("olympic" a | verage of 2a through 2 | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | |
| b) Net return attributa | able to land only (class | III) /4/ | | \$34.23 | | | |
| c) Net return attributa | able to trees only (3a - | 3b) | | (\$34.23) | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0050</u> | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Ap | ple Trees /5/ | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "O | ther" Trees /6/ | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Ca | pitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1108</u> | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | apitalization Rate | | | <u>0.1275</u> | | | |
| C Has Value of Annia One | | a h a u d | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | <u>"OTHER" ORCHARD</u> | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | Trees and Land /8/ |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$247.16)</u> | <u>\$415.69</u> | <u>(\$214.84)</u> | <u>\$448.01</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$308.95)</u> | <u>\$287.61</u> | <u>(\$268.55)</u> | <u>\$328.01</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$308.95)</u> | <u>\$132.95</u> | <u>(\$268.55)</u> | <u>\$173.35</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$308.95)</u> | <u>\$44.57</u> | <u>(\$268.55)</u> | <u>\$84.97</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$231.71)</u> | <u>\$33.43</u> | <u>(\$201.42)</u> | <u>\$63.72</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$185.37)</u> | <u>\$35.58</u> | <u>(\$161.13)</u> | <u>\$59.82</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$123.58)</u> | <u>\$8.99</u> | <u>(\$107.42)</u> | <u>\$25.15</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$44.19</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$44.19</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.

Table 5: Worksheet for estimating the use value of orchard land in York

The estimated net returns assume a planting density of 135 trees per acre. A complete listing of this table for each jurisdiction participating in the land use program is available at the Virginia Department of Taxation.

Estimates apply to tax-year 2002.

| 1. Estimated net returns (loss) per acre applicable to tax-year 2001 (see Table 4 for more detail). | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Age of Trees | | Processed Fruit | Percent of Total /1/ | <u>Fresh Fruit</u> | Percent of Total /1/ | | | | |
| Pre-production aged trees | (1 - 4 years) | (\$1,345.97) | 7.0% | (\$1,432.37) | 3.0% | | | | |
| Early-production aged trees | (5 - 10 years) | (\$719.41) | 17.5% | (\$1042.69) | 7.5% | | | | |
| Full-production aged trees (11 - 25 years) | | \$579.08 | 35.0% | (\$44.49) | 15.0% | | | | |
| Late-production aged trees | (26 - 30 years) | \$156.58 | 10.5% | (\$136.26) | 4.5% | | | | |
| 2. Weighted Average Net | Return for 1993 - 199 | 99. | | | | | | | |
| a) 2000 /2/ | <u>(\$108.20)</u> | | | | | | | | |
| b) 1999 | | | <u>(</u> | (\$59.80) | | | | | |
| c) 1998 | | | () | <u>(\$46.81)</u> | | | | | |
| d) 1997 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | | |
| e) 1996 | | | | <u>\$88.77</u> | | | | | |
| f) 1995 | | | | <u>\$86.25</u> | | | | | |
| g) 1994 | | | | <u>\$89.28</u> | | | | | |
| 3. Net Returns | | | | | | | | | |
| a) Net return to trees and land ("olympic" average of 2a through 2g) /3/ | | | 2g) /3/ | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| b) Net return attributable to land only (class III) /4/ | | | | \$32.03 | | | | | |
| c) Net return attributable to trees only (3a - 3b) | | | | (\$32.03) | | | | | |
| 5. Capitalization Rate | | | | <u></u> | | | | | |
| a) Interest Rate | | | | <u>0.0725</u> | | | | | |
| b) Property Tax | | | | <u>0.0076</u> | | | | | |
| c) Depreciation of Apple Trees /5/ | | | | <u>0.0333</u> | | | | | |
| d) Depreciation of "Other" Trees /6/ | | | | <u>0.0500</u> | | | | | |
| e) Apple Orchard Capitalization Rate | | | | <u>0.1134</u> | | | | | |
| f) "Other" Orchard Ca | | <u>0.1301</u> | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

6. Use Value of Apple Orchard and "Other" Orchard

| | | APPLE ORCHARD | | "OTHER" ORCHARD | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Land Class | Orchard Index /7/ | <u>Trees Only</u> | Trees and Land /8/ | Trees Only | <u>Trees and Land /8/</u> |
| I | 0.80 | <u>(\$225.98)</u> | <u>\$374.16</u> | <u>(\$197.02)</u> | <u>\$403.12</u> |
| II | 1.00 | <u>(\$282.47)</u> | <u>\$257.65</u> | <u>(\$246.27)</u> | <u>\$293.85</u> |
| Ш | 1.00 | <u>(\$282.47)</u> | <u>\$117.62</u> | <u>(\$246.27)</u> | <u>\$153.82</u> |
| IV | 1.00 | <u>(\$282.47)</u> | <u>\$37.60</u> | <u>(\$246.27)</u> | <u>\$73.80</u> |
| V | 0.75 | <u>(\$211.86)</u> | <u>\$28.20</u> | <u>(\$184.71)</u> | <u>\$55.35</u> |
| VI | 0.60 | <u>(\$169.48)</u> | <u>\$30.56</u> | <u>(\$147.76)</u> | <u>\$52.28</u> |
| VII | 0.40 | <u>(\$112.99)</u> | <u>\$7.04</u> | <u>(\$98.51)</u> | <u>\$21.52</u> |
| VIII | 0.00 | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$40.01</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> | <u>\$40.01</u> |
| | | | | | |

1/ These percentages assume that 70% of the fruit is produced for the processed market and 30% is produced for the fresh market. I addition, it is assumed that the orchard is 10% pre-production, 25% early production, 50% full production and 15% late production.

2/ This is the average net return of the eight orchard categories listed in section 1 of this table. The weights are provided by the percent of total trees represented by each category.

3/ In an olympic average, the highest and lowest values are dropped prior to calculating the arithmetic mean.

4/ This is determined by dividing the unadjusted net return value (Table 3 - Line 1) by the soil index factor (Table 3 - Section 4).

5/ The depreciation rate applicable to apple trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 30-year rotation.

6/ "Other" trees refers to peach, cherry, pear, and plum trees. The depreciation rate applicable to "other" trees assumes that trees are replaced on a 20-year rotation.