

Table 1. Comparison of TY2013 Values to TY2012 Values				
	TY2012 Use Values	TY2013 Use Values	\$ Change	% Change
	Type III Land w/out Risk	Type III Land w/out Risk		
County of--				
Accomack	930	1260	330	35%
Albemarle	50	80	30	60%
Alleghany	0	50	50	
Amelia	210	360	150	71%
Amherst	10	70	60	600%
Appomattox*	50	180	130	260%
Augusta	150	270	120	80%
Bath	40	40	0	0%
Bedford	60	60	0	0%
Bland	100	270	170	170%
Botetourt	60	80	20	33%
Campbell	30	30	0	0%
Caroline	470	730	260	55%
Carroll	80	120	40	50%
Chesterfield	200	330	130	65%
Clarke	100	120	20	20%
Culpeper	210	280	70	33%
Cumberland	100	190	90	90%
Dinwiddie_Coastal	290	460	170	59%
Dinwiddie_Piedmont	250	500	250	100%
Essex	1070	1610	540	50%
Fairfax	50	110	60	120%
Fauquier	90	130	40	44%
Floyd	20	110	90	450%
Fluvanna	30	70	40	133%
Franklin	50	140	90	180%
Frederick	50	50	0	0%
Giles	40	150	110	275%
Gloucester	610	950	340	56%
Goochland	210	450	240	114%
Greene	130	160	30	23%
Greensville*	560	790	230	41%
Halifax	90	90	0	0%
Hanover_Coastal	450	680	230	51%
Hanover_Piedmont	290	530	240	83%
Henrico_Coastal	500	880	380	76%
Henrico_Piedmont	250	620	370	148%
Henry	0	0	0	
Isle Of Wight	500	740	240	48%
James City	210	240	30	14%
King George	220	320	100	45%
King William	730	1280	550	75%
Lancaster	610	950	340	56%
Loudoun	50	110	60	120%
Louisa	90	160	70	78%
Madison	270	480	210	78%
Middlesex	560	860	300	54%
Montgomery	40	70	30	75%
Nelson	10	10	0	0%
New Kent	530	850	320	60%
Northampton	1120	1450	330	29%
Northumberland	760	1190	430	57%

Table 1. Comparison of TY2013 Values to TY2012 Values				
	TY2012 Use Values	TY2013 Use Values	\$ Change	% Change
	Type III Land w/out Risk	Type III Land w/out Risk		
Nottoway	70	90	20	29%
Orange	120	220	100	83%
Page	150	150	0	0%
Pittsylvania	90	100	10	11%
Powhatan	130	280	150	115%
Prince Edward	40	40	0	0%
Prince George	340	560	220	65%
Prince William	100	120	20	20%
Pulaski	80	100	20	25%
Rappahannock	20	20	0	0%
Richmond	420	800	380	90%
Roanoke	0	0	0	
Rockbridge	50	170	120	240%
Rockingham	430	680	250	58%
Russell	10	180	170	1700%
Shenandoah	240	360	120	50%
Smyth	110	240	130	118%
Southampton	620	910	290	47%
Spotsylvania	140	280	140	100%
Stafford	170	220	50	29%
Tazewell	70	130	60	86%
Warren	0	0	0	
Washington	210	290	80	38%
Westmoreland	430	710	280	65%
Wise	10	10	0	0%
Wythe	70	110	40	57%
York	210	240	30	14%
City of--				
Buena Vista City	50	160	110	220%
Chesapeake City	1010	1580	570	56%
Danville City	90	100	10	11%
Franklin City	480	720	240	50%
Fredericksburg City	140	280	140	100%
Hampton City	200	220	20	10%
Harrisonburg City	430	680	250	58%
Lynchburg City	60	60	0	0%
Manassas City	100	120	20	20%
Newport News City	210	230	20	10%
Petersburg City	320	530	210	66%
Radford City	90	100	10	11%
Roanoke City	0	0	0	
Staunton City	140	250	110	79%
Suffolk City	520	700	180	35%
Virginia Beach City	890	1250	360	40%
Waynesboro City	150	260	110	73%
Winchester City	50	50	0	0%
AVERAGES	\$235	\$371	\$136	

*New use-value participant TY2009

TY2013 Data Comparison Summary

Increase % Change (Top 10)		<i>\$ change</i>
1	Russell	1700% \$170
2	Amherst	600% \$60
3	Floyd	450% \$90
4	Giles	275% \$110
5	Appomattox*	260% \$130
6	Rockbridge	240% \$120
7	Buena Vista City	220% \$110
8	Franklin	180% \$90
9	Bland	170% \$170
10	Henrico_Piedmont	148% \$370

Increase \$ Change (Top 10)		<i>% change</i>
1	Chesapeake City	\$570 56%
2	King William	\$550 75%
3	Essex	\$540 50%
4	Northumberland	\$430 57%
5	Henrico_Coastal	\$380 76%
6	Richmond	\$380 90%
7	Henrico_Piedmont	\$370 148%
8	Virginia Beach City	\$360 40%
9	Gloucester	\$340 56%
10	Lancaster	\$340 56%

Overall

Average change was **\$136 increase per acre**

39% of localities had \$ changes of **\$50 or less per acre**

51% of localities had \$ changes of **\$100 or more per acre**

12% of localities had **no change**:

These are Bath, Bedford, Campbell, Frederick, Halifax, Lynchburg, Nelson, Page, Prince Edward, Rappahannock, Winchester, and Wise.

Four localities had a **\$0 Type III Land Use Value** for TY2013.

These are: Henry, Roanoke, Roanoke City, and Warren

Table 2. Overview: TY2013 Use-Value Assessment Program Values

		Number of counties/cities that increased or decreased
Average decrease	\$0	0
Average increase	\$163	81
No change	\$0	16
Average change in value from 2011 to 2012	\$136 increase	

General Comments

- Grain prices remained high for a second year resulting in counties that have enough crop acreage of corn, wheat, barley, and/or soybeans in their composite farm to increase their use-value estimates.
- The format of the Direct and Counter-Cyclical Program (DCP) payments received from USDA-FSA changed for data year 2010 and 2011. In 2009, DCP payments were only jurisdiction-specific. In previous years DCP payments were crop specific and again for data years 2010 and 2011.
- Henry, Roanoke County and City, and Warren all have a \$0 value for Type III Land Use Value for TY2013.
- Possible ways to address zero values would be to use rental rates.

Selected Counties/Cities: Explanatory Notes

TY2013 Top Percentage and Total \$ INCREASE

- Reason - large increase in profits from grains; and increases in profits from pasture.

Russell – Increase in net income from pasture

Amherst and Floyd - Increase in net income from alfalfa and pasture

Giles – Increase in net income corn and pasture

Appomattox – Increase in net income from corn, alfalfa, wheat, soybeans, and pasture

Rockbridge and Buena Vista – Increase in net income from corn, alfalfa, soybeans, and pasture

Franklin - Increase in net income from corn, wheat, soybeans, pasture, and tobacco

Bland – Increase in net income from corn, hay, and pasture

Henrico, Piedmont Region – Increase in net income for corn, wheat, soybeans, and pasture.