

Commonwealth of Virginia
2015 Forest Land Use Values - Land Use Assessment Law

County	2014 Values			2015 Suggested Values			% of Change on Good Site	Non-Productive Land Dollars Per Acre
	<i>SITE PRODUCTIVITY – Dollars Per Acre</i>							
	<i>Fair</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Excellent</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Excellent</i>		
Accomack	192	314	473	232	348	470	11%	100
*Albemarle (Piedmont)	211	325	476	265	399	431	23%	75
*Albemarle (Mountain)				170	251	296		75
Alleghany	165	262	387	171	254	299	-3%	75
*Amherst (Piedmont)	191	301	442	272	410	443	36%	65
*Amherst (Mountain)				174	258	304		65
Augusta	166	263	389	175	259	306	-1%	75
*Bedford (Piedmont)	150	240	356	345	486	565	103%	75
*Bedford (Mountain)				174	258	304		75
Bland	155	247	365	191	238	281	-3%	75
Botetourt	151	241	357	172	254	299	5%	75
Campbell	339	511	726	347	489	568	-4%	100
Caroline	303	461	659	375	516	621	12%	100
Carroll (hdwd)	162	258	380	190	237	279	-8%	75
**Carroll (wp)	216	510	945	277	546	955	7%	75
Chesterfield	285	436	625	358	492	593	13%	100
Clarke	180	284	419	172	255	300	-10%	100
Culpeper	184	289	424	266	400	433	39%	100
Cumberland	327	493	698	340	480	557	-3%	100
Dinwiddie	319	481	682	452	612	741	27%	100
Essex	277	490	610	376	517	623	6%	100
Fairfax	188	294	431	256	386	418	31%	100
*Fauquier (Piedmont)	167	264	389	262	394	427	49%	100
*Fauquier (Mountain)				168	248	293		100
Fluvanna	184	289	425	269	405	438	40%	75
*Franklin (Piedmont)	149	239	354	347	490	569	105%	75
*Franklin (Mountain)				194	242	285		75
Frederick	177	280	412	174	258	304	-8%	100
Giles	157	251	370	191	239	281	-5%	75
Gloucester	306	465	664	374	515	620	11%	100
Goochland	330	541	706	268	404	437	-25%	100
*Greene (Piedmont)	198	310	453	266	401	434	29%	100
*Greene (Mountain)				171	253	298		100
Hanover	286	436	624	366	504	606	15%	75
Henrico	285	437	630	362	499	600	14%	100
*Henry (Piedmont)	133	215	321	347	489	568	128%	65
*Henry (Mountain)				194	242	284		65
Isle of Wight	307	466	665	460	623	754	34%	100
James City	297	452	647	367	505	608	12%	75
King George	293	450	649	382	525	632	17%	75
King William	299	454	649	370	509	612	12%	75
*Loudoun (Piedmont)	167	264	388	253	380	412	44%	100
*Loudoun (Mountain)				162	239	282		100
Louisa	338	509	722	268	403	436	-21%	100
*Madison (Piedmont)	194	304	446	270	407	441	34%	100
*Madison (Mountain)				173	257	302		100
Middlesex	313	476	680	388	534	643	12%	100

Commonwealth of Virginia
2015 Forest Land Use Values - Land Use Assessment Law

County	2014 Values			2015 Suggested Values			% of Change on Good Site	Non-Productive Land Dollars Per Acre
	<i>SITE PRODUCTIVITY – Dollars Per Acre</i>							
	<i>Fair</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Excellent</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Excellent</i>		
Montgomery	148	237	351	188	235	277	-1%	65
*Nelson (Piedmont)	193	302	444	270	406	440	34%	100
*Nelson (Mountain)				173	256	302		100
†New Kent	301	457	653	370	509	612	11%	100
†Northampton	197	319	480	228	343	463	7%	100
Northumberland	316	480	685	388	534	643	11%	100
Orange	184	289	425	266	400	433	39%	100
Page	185	292	428	174	257	303	-12%	100
Pittsylvania	347	521	738	345	487	565	-7%	100
Powhatan	320	483	685	332	469	544	-3%	100
Prince George	305	461	655	446	604	731	31%	100
Prince William	179	281	412	256	385	417	37%	75
Pulaski	154	246	364	192	239	282	-3%	65
*Rappahannock (Piedmont)	186	292	429	270	406	440	39%	100
Rappahannock (Mountain)				173	256	302		100
Richmond	279	429	619	383	527	634	23%	100
Roanoke	137	220	326	162	240	283	9%	65
Rockbridge	177	280	412	173	257	302	-8%	100
Rockingham	179	283	416	173	257	302	-9%	100
Russell	157	251	372	193	240	283	-4%	75
Shenandoah	185	292	429	175	260	306	-11%	100
Smyth	156	249	369	192	239	281	-4%	75
Southampton	318	481	683	457	619	748	29%	100
Spotsylvania	325	489	692	264	398	431	-19%	100
Stafford	336	505	719	260	391	423	-23%	75
Tazewell	159	253	374	192	239	281	-5%	75
Warren	187	295	433	174	257	303	-13%	100
Washington	156	249	369	192	239	282	-4%	75
Westmoreland	357	469	674	386	531	640	13%	75

† New Kent and Northampton has Agricultural/Forestal Districts only

* These counties have a Mountain Land value and a Piedmont Land value because there are both types of land within the county boundaries. So affected counties will be able to choose which value to use based on the property location.

** White Pine Management

Commonwealth of Virginia
2015 Forest Land Use Values - Land Use Assessment Law

CITIES	2014 Values			2015 Suggested Values			% of Change on Good Site	Non-Productive Land Dollars Per Acre
	<i>SITE PRODUCTIVITY – Dollars Per Acre</i>							
	<i>Fair</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Excellent</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Excellent</i>		
Chesapeake	300	452	640	427	579	700	28%	100
Danville	337	505	716	334	472	548	-7%	100
Franklin	308	466	661	443	600	727	29%	100
Fredericksburg	310	472	677	265	399	432	-15%	75
Harrisonburg	179	283	416	173	255	301	-10%	100
*Lynchburg (Piedmont)	170	268	394	254	383	414	43%	75
*Lynchburg (Mountain)				163	241	284		75
Petersburg	285	430	612	340	467	563	9%	100
Radford	147	236	349	188	234	276	-1%	75
Staunton	162	256	378	165	245	289	-4%	75
Suffolk	300	455	647	438	594	719	31%	100
Virginia Beach	302	456	650	441	597	723	31%	100
Waynesboro	166	262	386	169	251	296	-4%	75

† New Kent and Northampton has Agricultural/Forestal Districts only

13.80% Ave. Change

* These counties have a Mountain Land value and a Piedmont Land value because there are both types of land within the county boundaries. So affected counties will be able to choose which value to use based on the property location.

** White Pine Management